

## On a Question of the Theory of Partially Composition Formations\*

(Dedicated to Professor K.P. Shum on the occasion of his 70th birthday)

**A.A. Tsarev**

*Department of Mathematics, P.M. Masherov Vitebsk State University  
Vitebsk 210038, Belarus  
E-mail: alex.vitebsk@mail.ru*

**N.N. Vorob'ev<sup>†</sup>**

*Department of Mathematics, F. Skorina Gomel State University  
Gomel' 246019, Belarus  
E-mail: vornic2001@yahoo.com*

Received 11 January 2011

Revised 4 November 2011

Communicated by L.A. Bokut

**Abstract.** Let  $n > 0$  and  $\omega$  be a non-empty set of primes. It is proved that the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations is inductive. It gives a positive answer to a question of Skiba asked in 2001 at the Gomel Algebraic Seminar.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20D10, 20F17

**Keywords:** finite group, formation of groups,  $\omega$ -composition satellite of formation,  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation, inductive lattice of formations

### Introduction

All groups considered are finite. Throughout this paper, we will use  $\omega$  to denote a non-empty set of primes and  $\omega' = \mathbb{P} \setminus \omega$ . Let  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ , and  $G$  a group. Recall that the subgroup  $C^p(G)$  is the intersection of the centralizers of all the abelian  $p$ -chief factors of  $G$ , with  $C^p(G) = G$  if  $G$  has no abelian  $p$ -chief factors. For any set of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$  we denote by  $\text{Com}(\mathfrak{X})$  the class of all simple abelian groups  $A$  such that  $A \cong H/K$ , where  $H/K$  is a composition factor of  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . The symbol  $R_\omega(G)$  denotes the  $\mathfrak{S}_\omega$ -radical of  $G$ , i.e., the product of all soluble normal  $\omega$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

---

\*Research of the second author is partially supported by Belarussian Republic Foundation of Fundamental Researches (BRFFI–RFFI, grant F10R-231).

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author.

Recall that a class of groups closed under taking homomorphic images and finite subdirect products is called a *formation*. A formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\omega$ -saturated if  $G/L \in \mathfrak{F}$ , where  $L \subseteq \Phi(G) \cap O_\omega(G)$ , always implies  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Last years new natural generalizations of  $\omega$ -saturated formations were found ( $\omega$ -composition formations [23],  $\mathfrak{X}$ -local formations [1] etc).

Let  $f$  be a function of the form

$$f : \omega \cup \{\omega'\} \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}. \quad (*)$$

According to [23] we consider the class of groups

$$CF_\omega(f) = (G \mid G/R_\omega(G) \in f(\omega') \text{ and } G/C^p(G) \in f(p) \text{ for all } p \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(G))).$$

If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a formation such that  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(f)$  for a function  $f$  of the form  $(*)$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be  $\omega$ -composition and  $f$  is said to be an  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}$  [23].

Every formation is 0-multiply  $\omega$ -composition by definition. For  $n > 0$ , a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition [23] if  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(f)$  and all non-empty values of  $f$  are  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations. With respect to inclusion  $\subseteq$  the set of all  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations  $c_n^\omega$  is a complete lattice [23].

$\mathfrak{X}$ -Local formations (see [1]) and  $\omega$ -saturated formations (see [8, 22]) are important examples of  $\omega$ -composition ( $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition) formations.

We note that  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations and  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations are of great interest because they have a wide spectrum of applications in the theory of formations.

In the books [16, 21] and in the recent books [2, 5], it was demonstrated that constructions and results of lattice theory are very useful tools for studying groups and formations of groups. In 1986 Skiba [20] proved that the lattice of all saturated formations is modular. Further many applications of this result for the investigation of the structure of saturated formations were found (see [16, Chapter 4], [21, Chapters 4 and 5], and [5, Chapter 4]). Therefore, this result was developed in researches of many authors. In particular, in [16], the modularity of the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply saturated formations was established. After a while Ballester-Bolinches and Shemetkov [3] proved that the lattice of all  $p$ -saturated formations is modular. In [21] it was shown that the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply saturated formations is modular but is not distributive for every subgroup functor  $\tau$ . At the same time the lattice of all soluble totally saturated formations is distributive [21]. Skiba and Shemetkov [22, 23] proved the modularity of the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations and the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply  $\mathcal{L}$ -composition formations. The modularity of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations and the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $\omega$ -composition formations was established by Shabalina [14] and Zadorozhnyuk [29]. Safonov [11, 12, 13] proved the modularity and then the distributivity of the lattice of all totally saturated formations; Zhiznevsky [30], and independently Tsarev and Vorob'ev [28] proved the modularity of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations. In [21] it was shown that for any natural  $m$  and  $n$ , the law system of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $m$ -multiply saturated formations coincides with the law system of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply saturated formations. Later, Guo and Skiba [9] proved that the law system of the

lattice of all  $m$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations coincides with the law system of the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations for any infinite set of primes  $\omega$  and any natural  $m$  and  $n$ . In [17] Shemetkov, Skiba and Vorob'ev extended this result to the lattices of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations. Vorob'ev, Skiba and Tsarev [27] proved that the law system of the lattice of all  $m$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations coincides with the law system of the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations for any infinite set of primes  $\omega$  and any natural  $m$  and  $n$ .

At the end of this short review we note that Guo and Shum [7] described non-nilpotent totally saturated formations  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\infty}(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N})$  of all totally saturated formations between  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is Boolean. Guo [6] described  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply saturated formations  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that the lattice  $\mathfrak{F}/_{\tau}^n(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N})$  of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply saturated formations between  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{N}$  is Boolean.

The analogous questions were investigated in the theory of fiber formations proposed by Vedernikov (see [19, 25, 26]).

In [21] the concept of inductive lattice of formations was introduced. This concept plays an important role in the research of law systems of formation lattices.

Recall that a set of formations  $\Theta$  is called a *complete lattice of formations* if the intersection of every set of formations in  $\Theta$  belongs to  $\Theta$  and there is a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  in  $\Theta$  such that  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for every other formation  $\mathfrak{M}$  of  $\Theta$  (see [21]). A formation in  $\Theta$  is called a  $\Theta$ -*formation*. Let  $\Theta$  be a complete lattice of formations. We denote by  $\Theta^{\omega_c}$  the set of all formations having an  $\omega$ -composition  $\Theta$ -valued satellite (see [22, 23]). In [23, p. 901], it is proved that  $\Theta^{\omega_c}$  is a complete lattice of formations.

A complete lattice  $\Theta^{\omega_c}$  is called *inductive* if for any collection  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i = CF_{\omega}(f_i) \mid i \in I\}$ , where  $f_i$  is an integrated satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}_i \in \Theta^{\omega_c}$ , the following equality holds:

$$\vee_{\Theta^{\omega_c}}(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = CF_{\omega}(\vee_{\Theta}(f_i \mid i \in I)).$$

We note, the inductance of a lattice  $\Theta^{\omega_c}$ , in fact, means that a research of the operation  $\vee_{\Theta^{\omega_c}}$  on the set  $\Theta^{\omega_c}$  can be reduced to a research of the operation  $\vee_{\Theta}$  on the set  $\Theta$ . Therefore, the inductance is an important property of the lattice  $\Theta^{\omega_c}$ . Bearing in mind this fact Skiba asked in 2001 at the Gomel Algebraic Seminar the following question: *Is the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations inductive?*

In this paper, we prove the following theorem which gives a positive answer to this question.

**Theorem.** *Let  $n > 0$  and  $\omega$  be a non-empty set of primes. Then the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations  $c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}$  is inductive.*

All unexplained notations and terminologies are standard. The reader is referred to [2, 4, 5, 15, 16, 21, 23] if necessary.

### 1 Preliminaries

In each group  $G$ , we select a system of subgroups  $\tau(G)$ . We say that  $\tau$  is a *subgroup functor* if (1)  $G \in \tau(G)$  for every group  $G$ ; and (2) for every epimorphism  $\varphi : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ , any  $H \in \tau(A)$  and  $T \in \tau(B)$ , we have  $H^{\varphi} \in \tau(B)$  and  $T^{\varphi^{-1}} \in \tau(A)$ .

If  $\tau(G) = \{G\}$ , then the functor  $\tau$  is called *trivial*. A formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\tau$ -closed if  $\tau(G) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for every group  $G$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  (see [21]). We will consider only subgroup functors  $\tau$  such that for any group  $G$  all subgroups of  $\tau(G)$  are subnormal in  $G$ .

Let  $\Theta$  be a complete lattice of formations. If  $\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{H} \in \Theta$ , then  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{H}$  is the greatest lower bound for  $\{\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{H}\}$  in  $\Theta$ ; and  $\mathfrak{M} \vee_{\Theta} \mathfrak{H}$  is the least upper bound for  $\{\mathfrak{M}, \mathfrak{H}\}$  in  $\Theta$ . A satellite  $f$  is called  $\Theta$ -valued if all its values belong to  $\Theta$  (see [23]).

Lemmas 2.1 and 3.1 of [28] imply the following result.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $n$  be a natural number. Then  $(c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau})^{\omega_c} = c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}$ .*

We cite here some known results as lemmas which will be useful later on.

Let  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a collection of  $\omega$ -composition satellites. By  $\bigcap_{i \in I} f_i$  we denote the  $\omega$ -composition satellite  $f$  such that  $f(a) = \bigcap_{i \in I} f_i(a)$  for all  $a \in \omega \cup \{\omega'\}$ .

**Lemma 2.** [23, Lemma 2] *Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}_i = CF_{\omega}(f_i)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_{\omega}(f)$ , where  $f = \bigcap_{i \in I} f_i$ .*

**Lemma 3.** [24, Lemma 2.8] *Let  $Z_p$  be a group of prime order  $p$ , and  $G$  be a group with  $O_p(G) = 1$ . Suppose that  $T = Z_p \wr G = [K]G$  is the regular wreath product, where  $K$  is the base group of  $T$ . Then  $K = C^p(T) = O_p(T)$ .*

**Lemma 4.** [23, Lemma 4] *Let  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_{\omega}(f)$ . If  $G/O_p(G) \in f(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}$  for some  $p \in \omega$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .*

Recall that a group class closed under taking homomorphic images is called a *semiformation* (see [16]). Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a collection of groups. The symbol  $\tau\text{form}\mathfrak{X}$  denotes the  $\tau$ -closed formation generated by  $\mathfrak{X}$ , i.e., the intersection of all  $\tau$ -closed formations containing  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Lemma 5.** [21, Corollary 1.2.26] *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a  $\tau$ -closed semiformation and  $A \in \mathfrak{F} = \tau\text{form}\mathfrak{X}$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a monolithic group and  $A \notin \mathfrak{X}$ . Then there exists a group  $H$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  and normal subgroups  $N, N_1, \dots, N_t; M, M_1, \dots, M_t$  ( $t \geq 2$ ) of  $H$  such that the following statements hold:*

- (1)  $H/N \cong A, M/N = \text{Soc}(H/N)$ .
- (2)  $N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_t = 1$ .
- (3)  $H/N_i$  is a monolithic  $\mathfrak{X}$ -group and  $M_i/N_i$  is the socle of  $H/N_i$  which is  $H$ -isomorphic to  $M/N$ .
- (4)  $M_1 \cap \dots \cap M_t \subseteq M$ .

Let  $\tau$  be a subgroup functor. For any collection of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$  the symbol  $s_{\tau}$  denotes the set of groups  $H$  such that  $H \in \tau(G)$  for some group  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . A class of groups  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $\tau$ -closed if  $s_{\tau}(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{F}$ . We say that  $\tau$  is a *closed subgroup functor* if for any groups  $G$  and  $H \in \tau(G)$  we have  $\tau(H) \subseteq \tau(G)$ .

According to [21] we define a partial order  $\leq$  on the set of all subgroup functors as follows:  $\tau_1 \leq \tau_2$  if and only if  $\tau_1(G) \subseteq \tau_2(G)$  for any group  $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ . By  $\bar{\tau}$ , we denote the intersection of all closed subgroup functors  $\tau_i$  such that  $\tau \leq \tau_i$ . The functor  $\bar{\tau}$  is called the *closure* of  $\tau$  (see [21]).

**Lemma 6.** [21, Lemma 1.2.22] *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a collection of groups. Then*

$$\tau\text{form}\mathfrak{X} = \text{QR}_0\text{S}_{\overline{\tau}}(\mathfrak{X}).$$

**Lemma 7.** [21, Lemma 4.1.3] *Let  $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_t = \text{Soc}(G)$ , where  $N_i$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  ( $i = 1, \dots, t$ ),  $t > 1$ , and  $O_p(G) = 1$ . Let  $M_i$  be the largest normal subgroup in  $G$  containing  $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_{i-1} \times N_{i+1} \times \cdots \times N_t$ , but not containing  $N_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Then:*

- (1) *For every  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ ,  $O_p(G/M_i) = 1$ ,  $G/M_i$  is monolithic and its socle  $N_i M_i/M_i$  is  $G$ -isomorphic to  $N_i$ .*
- (2)  $M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_t = 1$ .

**2 Inductance of the Lattice  $c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}$**

Let  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  be the collection of all  $\omega$ -composition  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}$ -valued satellites of a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Since the lattice  $c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}$  is complete, using Lemma 2, we conclude that  $f = \bigcap_{i \in I} f_i$  is an  $\omega$ -composition  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}$ -valued satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The satellite  $f$  is called *minimal*. If  $\Theta$  is a complete lattice of formations, then  $\Theta\text{form}\mathfrak{X}$  is the intersection of all  $\Theta$ -formations containing a collection of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$ . In particular, if  $\mathfrak{X} = \{G\}$ , we write  $\Theta\text{form}G$ . Thus,  $c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}\text{form}\mathfrak{X}$  is the intersection of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations containing a collection of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

The following lemma gives a description of the minimal  $c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}$ -valued satellite of a formation  $\mathfrak{F} = c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}\text{form}\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a non-empty collection of groups,  $\mathfrak{F} = c_{\omega_n}^{\tau}\text{form}\mathfrak{X}$ , where  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\pi = \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(\mathfrak{X}))$ , and  $f$  the minimal  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then:*

- (1)  $f(\omega') = c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}\text{form}(G/R_{\omega}(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{X})$ .
- (2)  $f(p) = c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}\text{form}(G/C^p(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{X})$  for all  $p \in \pi$ .
- (3)  $f(p) = \emptyset$  for all  $p \in \omega \setminus \pi$ .
- (4) *If  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_{\omega}(h)$ , where  $h$  is a  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite, then*

$$f(p) = c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}\text{form}(G \mid G \in h(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}, O_p(G) = 1)$$

for all  $p \in \pi$  and

$$f(\omega') = c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}\text{form}(G \mid G \in h(\omega') \cap \mathfrak{F}, R_{\omega}(G) = 1).$$

*Proof.* (1)–(3) Let  $m$  be a  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite such that

$$m(a) = \begin{cases} c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}\text{form}(G/R_{\omega}(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{X}) & \text{if } a = \omega', \\ c_{\omega_{n-1}}^{\tau}\text{form}(G/C^p(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{X}) & \text{if } a = p \in \pi, \\ \emptyset & \text{if } a = p \in \omega \setminus \pi. \end{cases}$$

We show that  $m = f$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M} = CF_{\omega}(m)$ . First we show that  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M}$ . By Lemma 1,  $\mathfrak{M}$  is a  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formation.

If  $A \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} A/R_\omega(A) &\in c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/R_\omega(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{X}) = m(\omega'), \\ A/C^p(A) &\in c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/C^p(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{X}) = m(p) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p \in \pi$ . Hence,  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ .

We prove the converse inclusion. Let  $f_1$  be a  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . First we prove  $m \leq f_1$ . Let  $A \in \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(f_1)$ . Then  $A/R_\omega(A) \in f_1(\omega')$  and  $A/C^p(A) \in f_1(p)$  for all  $p \in \pi$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} m(\omega') &\subseteq c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form} f_1(\omega') = f_1(\omega'), \\ m(p) &\subseteq c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form} f_1(p) = f_1(p) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p \in \pi$ . Hence,  $m \leq f_1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Therefore,  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M}$  and  $m = f$ .

Now we prove (4). Let  $t$  be an  $\omega$ -composition satellite such that

$$\begin{aligned} t(\omega') &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G \mid G \in h(\omega') \cap \mathfrak{F}, R_\omega(G) = 1), \\ t(p) &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G \mid G \in h(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}, O_p(G) = 1) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p \in \pi$ . We show that  $t = f$ . Let  $A \in \mathfrak{X} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(h)$ . Hence,  $A/R_\omega(A) \in h(\omega') \cap \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $R_\omega(A/R_\omega(A)) = 1$ , it follows that

$$A/R_\omega(A) \in c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G \mid G \in h(\omega') \cap \mathfrak{F}, R_\omega(G) = 1) = t(\omega').$$

Thus,  $f(\omega') \subseteq t(\omega')$ .

Since  $A \in \mathfrak{X}$ , it follows that  $A/C^p(A) \in h(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $p \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(A))$ . Since  $O_p(A/C^p(A)) = 1$ , it follows that

$$A/C^p(A) \in c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G \mid G \in h(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}, O_p(G) = 1) = t(p)$$

for all  $p \in \pi$ . Hence,  $f(p) \subseteq t(p)$  for all  $p \in \pi$ . Thus,  $f \leq t$ .

Now we prove  $t \leq f$ . Let  $A \in (G \mid G \in h(\omega') \cap \mathfrak{F}, R_\omega(G) = 1)$ . Then  $A \in f(\omega')$ . It follows that  $t(\omega') \subseteq c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form} f(\omega') = f(\omega')$ .

Let  $A \in (G \mid G \in h(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}, O_p(G) = 1)$ , where  $p \in \pi$ . Let  $T = Z_p \wr A = [K]A$ , where  $K$  is the base group of  $T$ . By Lemma 3,  $C^p(T) = K$ . Applying the properties of regular wreath products we have  $T/O_p(T) = T/K = T/C^p(T) \in h(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}$ . Hence, by Lemma 4,  $T \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Therefore,  $A \cong T/O_p(T) \in f(p)$ . It follows that

$$t(p) \subseteq c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form} f(p) = f(p).$$

Consequently,  $t \leq f$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega') &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G \mid G \in h(\omega') \cap \mathfrak{F}, R_\omega(G) = 1), \\ f(p) &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G \mid G \in h(p) \cap \mathfrak{F}, O_p(G) = 1) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $p \in \pi$  and the lemma is proved. □

**Lemma 9.** *Let  $A$  be a monolithic group,  $R$  a non-abelian socle of  $A$ ,  $\mathfrak{M}$  a  $\tau$ -closed semiformalization and  $A \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}$ , where  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ .*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 0$ . Then  $A \in c_{\omega_0}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M} = \tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $A \notin \mathfrak{M}$ . Then, by Lemma 5, there exists a group  $H$  in  $\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}$  and normal subgroups  $N, N_1, \dots, N_t; M, M_1, \dots, M_t$  ( $t \geq 2$ ) of  $H$  such that (1)  $H/N \cong A$ ,  $M/N = \text{Soc}(H/N)$ ; (2)  $H/N_i$  is a monolithic  $\mathfrak{M}$ -group,  $M_i/N_i$  is the socle of  $H/N_i$  and  $M_i/N_i \stackrel{H}{\cong} M/N$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .

Since the socle  $R \cong M/N$  is non-abelian, it follows that  $C_H(M/N) = N$ . Besides,  $M_i/N_i \stackrel{H}{\cong} M/N$ . Hence,  $N_i \subseteq N$ . Therefore,  $A \cong H/N \in \mathfrak{M}$ , a contradiction. This completes the proof of the lemma for  $n = 0$ .

Let  $n > 0$ , and let the lemma holds for  $n - 1$ . Suppose that  $f$  is the minimal  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F} = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $R$  is non-abelian, it follows that  $\pi(\text{Com}(R)) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $R_\omega(A) = 1$ . Consequently, by Lemma 8, we have  $A \cong A/1 = A/R_\omega(A) \in f(\omega') = c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/R_\omega(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M})$ . Therefore,

$$A \in c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/R_\omega(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M}) \subseteq c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}.$$

By induction,  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ , as desired. □

**Lemma 10.** *Let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a semiformalization and  $A \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}$ , where  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $\mathfrak{M}_1 = (G/O_p(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M})$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_2 = (G/R_\omega(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M})$ .*

- (1) *If  $O_p(A) = 1$  and  $p \in \omega$ , then  $A \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}_1$ .*
- (2) *If  $R_\omega(A) = 1$ , then  $A \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}_2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ , the result is clear. Hence, we may suppose  $A \notin \mathfrak{M}$ .

Suppose that  $A$  is a monolithic group and  $R$  is the socle of  $A$ . We proceed by induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 0$ . Since  $A \notin \mathfrak{M}$  and  $A \in c_{\omega_0}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M} = \tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}$ , by Lemma 5, there exists a group  $H$  in  $\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}$ , normal subgroups  $N, N_1, \dots, N_t; M, M_1, \dots, M_t$  ( $t \geq 2$ ) of  $H$  such that  $H/N \cong A$ ,  $M/N = \text{Soc}(H/N)$ ;  $N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_t = 1$ ;  $H/N_i$  is a monolithic  $\mathfrak{M}$ -group and  $M_i/N_i$  is the socle of  $H/N_i$  which is  $H$ -isomorphic to  $M/N$ .

Since  $O_p(A) = 1$  and  $R_\omega(A) = 1$ , by Lemma 5, we have

$$H \in R_0(H/N_1, \dots, H/N_t) \subseteq R_0\mathfrak{M}_j,$$

where  $j = 1, 2$ . Hence, by Lemma 5(1) and Lemma 6,

$$A \cong H/N \in \text{QR}_0(H/N_1, \dots, H/N_t) = \text{form}(H/N_1, \dots, H/N_t) \subseteq \tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}_j,$$

where  $j = 1, 2$ .

Let  $n > 0$ . Suppose  $O_p(A) = 1$ . If  $R$  is non-abelian, then Lemma 9 implies  $A \in \mathfrak{M}$ . This contradicts the choice of  $A$ . Hence,  $R$  is a  $q$ -group, where  $q \in \omega \setminus \{p\}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{F} = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_j = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}\mathfrak{M}_j$ , where  $j = 1, 2$ . Let  $f$  and  $h_j$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) be the minimal  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellites of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}_j$  respectively. By Lemma 8,

$$\begin{aligned} f(\omega') &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/R_\omega(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M}), \\ f(q) &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/C^q(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M}) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $q \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(\mathfrak{M}))$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} h_j(\omega') &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/R_\omega(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M}_j), \\ h_j(q) &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/C^q(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M}_j) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $q \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(\mathfrak{M}_j))$  and  $j = 1, 2$ .

Since for every group  $G$  we have

$$G/R_\omega(G) \cong (G/O_p(G))/(R_\omega(G)/O_p(G)) = (G/O_p(G))/R_\omega(G/O_p(G)),$$

it follows that  $f(\omega') = h_j(\omega')$  for  $j = 1, 2$ .

If  $q \notin \omega$ , then  $R_\omega(A) = 1$ . Therefore,

$$A \cong A/1 = A/R_\omega(A) \in f(\omega') = h_1(\omega') \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_1.$$

Let  $q \in \omega$ . We show that  $A/R \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ . Since  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , it follows that  $A/R_\omega(A) \in f(\omega') = h_1(\omega') \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_1$ .

Let  $O_p(A/R) = 1$ . Since  $|A/R| < |A|$ , by induction,  $A/R \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ .

Let  $O_p(A/R) \neq 1$ . Let  $R \subseteq \Phi(A)$  and  $D/R = O_p(A/R)$ . Then  $D$  is nilpotent. Hence,  $D = D_p \times D_q$ , where  $D_p$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $D$  and  $D_q$  is a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $D$ . Consequently,  $D_p = O_p(D) = 1$ , a contradiction. Hence,  $R \not\subseteq \Phi(A)$ . It follows that  $R = C_A(R) = C^q(A)$ .

Suppose  $\omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(A/R)) = \emptyset$ . Then  $R_\omega(A/R) = 1$ . Since  $f(\omega') = h_1(\omega')$ , we have

$$A/R \cong (A/R)/1 = (A/R)/R_\omega(A/R) \in f(\omega') = h_1(\omega') \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_1.$$

Consequently,  $\omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(A/R)) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $q \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(A/R))$ . Since for every group  $G$  we have

$$G/C^q(G) \cong (G/O_p(G))/(C^q(G)/O_p(G)) = (G/O_p(G))/C^q(G/O_p(G)),$$

it follows that  $f(q) = h_1(q)$ . Since  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , it follows that

$$A/R = A/C^q(A) \in f(q) = h_1(q) \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_1.$$

Thus,  $A/R \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ . Hence,

$$A/C^r(A) \cong (A/R)/(C^r(A)/R) = (A/R)/C^r(A/R) \in h_1(r)$$

for all  $r \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(A/R)) \setminus \{q\}$ . Consequently,  $A/C^r(A) \in h_1(r)$  for all  $r \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(A))$ . Besides, since  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$ , it follows that  $A/R_\omega(A) \in f(\omega') = h_1(\omega')$ . Hence,  $A \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ . This proves (1).

We now prove (2). Since  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $R_\omega(A) = 1$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} A \cong A/1 &= A/R_\omega(A) \in f(\omega') = h_2(\omega') \\ &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(G/R_\omega(G) \mid G \in \mathfrak{M}_2) \subseteq c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}_2 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $A \in \mathfrak{H}_2$ .



Now suppose  $\text{Soc}(A) = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_t$ , where  $N_i$  is a minimal normal subgroup of  $A$  and  $t > 1$ . Let  $M_i$  be the largest normal subgroup of  $A$  containing  $N_1 \times \cdots \times N_{i-1} \times N_{i+1} \times \cdots \times N_t$ , but not containing  $N_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$ . Using Lemma 7, we have  $A \in \text{R}_0(A/M_1, \dots, A/M_t)$ . Since  $A \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}$ , it follows that  $A/M_i \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}$ . As we proved above,  $A/M_i \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}_1$ . Consequently,  $A \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}_1$ .

Considering the proof of Lemma 7 and replacing the condition  $O_p(A) = 1$  by the condition  $R_\omega(A) = 1$ , we conclude that  $A/M_i$  is monolithic,  $N_i M_i / M_i$  is the socle of  $A/M_i$  and  $R_\omega(A/M_i) = 1$  for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . As we proved above,  $A/M_i \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}_2$ . Consequently,

$$A \cong A/1 = A/(M_1 \cap \cdots \cap M_t) \in c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form} \mathfrak{M}_2,$$

as claimed. □

Let  $\Theta$  be a complete lattice of formations. Let  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  be an arbitrary collection of  $\Theta$ -formations. We denote  $\vee_\Theta(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = \Theta \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i)$ . In particular,  $\vee_{\omega_n}^\tau(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I) = c_{\omega_n}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i)$ . Let  $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a collection of  $\Theta$ -valued functions of the form  $f_i : \omega \cup \{\omega'\} \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}$ . In this case, by  $\vee_\Theta(f_i \mid i \in I)$  we denote a function  $f$  such that  $f(a) = \Theta \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i(a))$  for all  $a \in \omega \cup \{\omega'\}$ .

The following lemma is proved by direct calculation.

**Lemma 11.** *Let  $n \geq 1$ , and  $f_i$  be the minimal  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of a formation  $\mathfrak{F}_i$  for  $i \in I$ . Then  $\vee_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau(f_i \mid i \in I)$  is the minimal  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F} = \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I)$ .*

If  $\mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(f)$  and  $f(a) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $a \in \omega \cup \{\omega'\}$ , then  $f$  is called an *integrated* satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

*Proof of Theorem.* Let  $\{\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a collection of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations and  $f_i$  an integrated  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \vee_{\omega_n}^\tau(\mathfrak{F}_i \mid i \in I)$ ,  $\mathfrak{M} = CF_\omega(\vee_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau(f_i \mid i \in I))$  and  $h_i$  be the minimal  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}_i$ . Then by Lemma 11,  $h = \vee_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau(h_i \mid i \in I)$  is the minimal  $c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau$ -valued  $\omega$ -composition satellite of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $h_i \leq f_i$  for all  $i \in I$ , we have  $h \leq f = \vee_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau(f_i \mid i \in I)$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ .

Suppose  $\mathfrak{M} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $G$  be a group of minimal order in  $\mathfrak{M} \setminus \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $G$  is a monolithic group and  $R = G^\mathfrak{F}$  is the socle of  $G$ . Let  $\omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(R)) = \emptyset$ . Then  $R_\omega(G) = 1$ . Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} G &\cong G/1 = G/R_\omega(G) \in f(\omega') = (\vee_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau(f_i \mid i \in I))(\omega') \\ &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i(\omega')) = c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 9,  $G \in \bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i \subseteq c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i) = \mathfrak{F}$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $\omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(R)) \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $R$  is non-abelian, then  $\pi(\text{Com}(R)) = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(R)) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $R$  is a  $p$ -group, where  $p \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(R))$ . Since  $G \in \mathfrak{M} = CF_\omega(f)$ , it follows that  $G/R \in \mathfrak{M}$ . Since  $|G/R| < |G|$ , by induction, we

have  $G/R \in \mathfrak{F} = CF_\omega(h)$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}(G/R)/R_\omega(G/R) &= (G/R)/(R_\omega(G)/R) \cong G/R_\omega(G) \in h(\omega'), \\ (G/R)/C^q(G/R) &= (G/R)/(C^q(G)/R) \cong G/C^q(G) = h(q)\end{aligned}$$

for all  $q \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(G/R)) \setminus \{p\}$ . But  $G \in \mathfrak{M} = CF_\omega(f)$ . Hence,  $G/C^p(G) \in f(p) = c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} f_i(p))$ . Since  $O_p(G/C^p(G)) = 1$ , Lemmas 8 and 10 imply

$$\begin{aligned}G/C^p(G) &\in c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(A/O_p(A) \mid A \in \bigcup_{i \in I} f_i(p)) \\ &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} (A/O_p(A) \mid A \in f_i(p))) \\ &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(A/O_p(A) \mid A \in f_i(p))) \\ &= c_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau \text{form}(\bigcup_{i \in I} h_i(p)) = (\bigvee_{\omega_{n-1}}^\tau (h_i \mid i \in I))(p) = h(p).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $G/R_\omega(G) \in h(\omega')$  and  $G/C^r(G) \in h(r)$  for all  $r \in \omega \cap \pi(\text{Com}(G))$ . Hence,  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ , a contradiction. Consequently,  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{M}$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

If  $\tau$  is trivial, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $n > 0$  and  $\omega$  be a non-empty set of primes. Then the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations is inductive.*

If  $\tau$  is trivial and  $\omega = \mathbb{P}$ , we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $n > 0$ . Then the lattice of all  $n$ -multiply composition formations is inductive.*

Finally, we note that the collection of all formations of lattice ordered groups is a complete Brouwerian lattice (see [10]). We also note that in [18], it was proved that the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $m$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations is not a sublattice of the lattice of all  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -saturated formations, where  $\omega$  is a set of primes with  $|\omega| > 1$ , and  $m > n \geq 0$  are integers.

## References

- [1] A. Ballester-Bolinches, C. Calvo, L.A. Shemetkov, On partially saturated formations of finite groups, *Sbornik: Mathematics* **198** (6) (2007) 757–775.
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches, L.M. Ezquerro, *Classes of Finite Groups*, Springer, Dordrecht, 2006.
- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches, L.A. Shemetkov, On lattices of  $p$ -local formations of finite groups, *Math. Nachr.* **186** (1997) 57–65.
- [4] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, De Gruyter Expo. Math. 4, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin-New York, 1992.
- [5] W.B. Guo, *The Theory of Classes of Groups*, Science Press/Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing-New York-Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000.
- [6] W.B. Guo, On a problem in the theory of multiply local formations, *Siberian Math. J.* **45** (6) (2004) 1036–1040.
- [7] W.B. Guo, K.P. Shum, On totally local formations of groups, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (5) (2002) 2117–2131.

- [8] W.B. Guo, K.P. Shum, V.M. Sel'kin, Factorization theory of 1-generated  $\omega$ -composition formations, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (9) (2007) 2901–2931.
- [9] W.B. Guo, A.N. Skiba, Two remarks on the identities of lattices of  $\omega$ -local and  $\omega$ -composition formations of finite groups, *Russian Mathematics (Izvestiya VUZ. Matematika)* **46** (5) (2002) 12–20.
- [10] J. Jakubík, Formations of lattice ordered groups and of *GMV*-algebras, *Mathematica Slovaca* **58** (5) (2008) 521–534.
- [11] V.G. Safonov, On modularity of the lattice of  $\tau$ -closed totally saturated formations of finite groups, *Ukrainian Math. J.* **58** (6) (2006) 967–973.
- [12] V.G. Safonov, On modularity of the lattice of totally saturated formations of finite groups, *Comm. Algebra* **35** (11) (2007) 3495–3502.
- [13] V.G. Safonov, On a question of the theory of totally saturated formations of finite groups, *Algebra Colloquium* **15** (1) (2008) 119–128.
- [14] I.P. Shabalina, On the lattice of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -local formations of finite groups, *Vesti NAN Belarusi. Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Navuk* (2003), No. 1, 28–30 (in Russian).
- [15] L.A. Shemetkov, *Formations of Finite Groups*, Main Editorial Board for Physical and Mathematical Literature, Nauka, Moscow, 1978 (in Russian).
- [16] L.A. Shemetkov, A.N. Skiba, *Formations of Algebraic Systems*, Main Editorial Board for Physical and Mathematical Literature, Nauka, Moscow, 1989 (in Russian).
- [17] L.A. Shemetkov, A.N. Skiba, N.N. Vorob'ev, On laws of lattices of partially saturated formations, *Asian-European J. Math.* **2** (1) (2009) 155–169.
- [18] L.A. Shemetkov, A.N. Skiba, N.N. Vorob'ev, On lattices of formations of finite groups, *Algebra Colloquium* **17** (4) (2010) 557–564.
- [19] Yu.A. Skachkova, Lattices of  $\Omega$ -fiber formations, *Discrete Mathematics and Applications* **12** (3) (2002) 269–278.
- [20] A.N. Skiba, On local formations of the length 5, in: *Arithmetical and Subgroup Structure of Finite Groups* (Works of Gomel Seminar), Nauka i Tekhnika, Minsk, 1986, pp. 135–149 (in Russian).
- [21] A.N. Skiba, *Algebra of Formations*, Belaruskaya Navuka, Minsk, 1997 (in Russian).
- [22] A.N. Skiba, L.A. Shemetkov, Multiply  $\omega$ -local formations and Fitting classes of finite groups, *Siberian Advances in Mathematics* **10** (2) (2000) 112–141.
- [23] A.N. Skiba, L.A. Shemetkov, Multiply  $\mathcal{L}$ -composition formations of finite groups, *Ukrainian Math. J.* **52** (6) (2000) 898–913.
- [24] A.N. Skiba, N.N. Vorob'ev, On the lattices of saturated and solubly saturated formations of finite groups, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* **37** (5) (2013) 771–780.
- [25] V.A. Vedernikov, Maximal satellites of  $\Omega$ -foliated formations and Fitting classes, in: *Proceedings of the Steklov Institute of Mathematics* (Suppl. 2), 2001, pp. 217–233.
- [26] V.A. Vedernikov, M.M. Sorokina,  $\Omega$ -Foliated formations and Fitting classes of finite groups, *Discrete Mathematics and Applications* **11** (5) (2001) 507–527.
- [27] N.N. Vorob'ev, A.N. Skiba, A.A. Tsarev, Laws of the lattices of partially composition formations, *Siberian Math. J.* **52** (5) (2011) 802–812.
- [28] N.N. Vorob'ev, A.A. Tsarev, On the modularity of a lattice of  $\tau$ -closed  $n$ -multiply  $\omega$ -composition formations, *Ukrainian Math. J.* **62** (4) (2010) 518–529.
- [29] M.V. Zadorozhnyuk, On elements of the height 3 of the lattice of  $\tau$ -closed  $\omega$ -composition formations, *Vestnik Grodnenskogo Gosudarstvennogo Universiteta* (Seriya 2) (2008), No. 2, 16–21 (in Russian).
- [30] P.A. Zhiznevskii, On modular and inductive lattices of formations of finite groups, *Proc. F. Skorina Gomel State Univ.* (2010), No. 1 (58), pp. 185–191 (in Russian).