



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Algebra

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

On  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classesWenbin Guo<sup>a,\*</sup>, Li Zhang<sup>b,2</sup>, N.T. Vorob'ev<sup>c,3</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, PR China

<sup>b</sup> School of Mathematics and Physics, Anhui Jianzhu University, Hefei 230601, PR China

<sup>c</sup> Department of Mathematics, Masherov Vitebsk State University, Vitebsk 210038, Belarus

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 23 May 2019

Available online 11 October 2019

Communicated by E.I. Khukhro

## MSC:

20D10

## Keywords:

Finite group

Fitting class

Hartley  $\sigma$ -function $\sigma$ -local Fitting class

Lockett class

## ABSTRACT

Let  $\sigma$  be a partition of the set of all primes  $\mathbb{P}$ . If  $G$  is a finite group and  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting class of finite groups, the symbol  $\sigma(G)$  denotes the set  $\{\sigma_i \mid \sigma_i \cap \pi(|G|) \neq \emptyset\}$  and  $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \cup_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{F}} \sigma(G)$ . We call any function  $f$  of the form  $f : \sigma \rightarrow \{\text{Fitting classes}\}$  a *Hartley  $\sigma$ -function* (or simply  *$H_\sigma$ -function*), and we put  $LR_\sigma(f) = \langle G \mid G = 1 \text{ or } G \neq 1 \text{ and } G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i) \text{ for all } \sigma_i \in \sigma(G) \rangle$ . If there is an  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$ , then we say that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\sigma$ -local and  $f$  is a  $\sigma$ -local definition of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . In this paper, we describe some properties of  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes and prove that: 1) every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class can be defined by a unique  $H_\sigma$ -function  $F$  such that  $F(\sigma_i) = F(\sigma_i) \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  and  $F(\sigma_i)$  is a Lockett class for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ ; 2) the product of two  $\sigma$ -local

\* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: [wbguo@ustc.edu.cn](mailto:wbguo@ustc.edu.cn) (W. Guo), [zhang12@mail.ustc.edu.cn](mailto:zhang12@mail.ustc.edu.cn) (L. Zhang), [vorobyovnt@tut.by](mailto:vorobyovnt@tut.by) (N.T. Vorob'ev).

<sup>1</sup> The first author was supported by the NNSF of China (11771409), Wu Wen-Tsun Key Laboratory of Mathematics of Chinese Academy of Sciences and Anhui Initiative in Quantum Information Technologies (AHY150200).

<sup>2</sup> The second author was supported by the Start-Up Scientific Research Foundation of Anhui Jianzhu University (2017QD20) and the Key Research Projects of Natural Science in Anhui Province (KJ2019A0784).

<sup>3</sup> The third author was supported by the State Research Programme “Convergence” of Belarus (2016-2020).

Fitting classes is also a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class. Moreover, we also discuss the  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes.

© 2019 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all groups are finite and  $G$  always denotes a finite group. Moreover,  $\mathbb{P}$  is the set of all primes,  $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  and  $\pi' = \mathbb{P} \setminus \pi$ . If  $n$  is an integer, the symbol  $\pi(n)$  denotes the set of all primes dividing  $n$ ; as usual,  $\pi(G) = \pi(|G|)$ , the set of all primes dividing the order of  $G$ . Following Shemetkov [1],  $\sigma$  is some partition of  $\mathbb{P}$ , that is,  $\sigma = \{\sigma_i | i \in I\}$ , where  $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{i \in I} \sigma_i$ ,  $\sigma_i \cap \sigma_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i \neq j$ ;  $\sigma(n) = \{\sigma_i | \sigma_i \cap \pi(n) \neq \emptyset\}$  [2];  $\sigma(G) = \sigma(|G|)$ . For any collection of groups  $\mathfrak{X}$ , the symbol  $(\mathfrak{X})$  denotes the class of all groups  $G$  such that  $G \cong H$  for some  $H \in \mathfrak{X}$ . Following [2–6], a group  $G$  is called:  $\sigma$ -primary if  $G$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $i \in I$ ;  $\sigma$ -soluble if every chief factor of  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -primary;  $\sigma$ -nilpotent if  $G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$  for some  $\sigma$ -primary groups  $G_1, \dots, G_n$ .

Note that in the classical case when  $\sigma = \sigma^1 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \dots\}$  (we use the notation in [6,7]),  $G$  is  $\sigma$ -soluble (respectively,  $\sigma$ -nilpotent) if and only if it is soluble (respectively, nilpotent).

Recall that a class  $\mathfrak{F}$  of groups is said: (i) a *formation* if it is closed under taking homomorphic images and subdirect products; (ii) a *Fitting class* if it is closed under taking normal subgroups and products of normal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subgroups.

Clearly, for a nonempty formation  $\mathfrak{F}$ , every group  $G$  has a smallest normal subgroup  $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$  such that  $G/G^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \mathfrak{F}$ ; for a nonempty Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$ , every group  $G$  has a largest normal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subgroup  $G_{\mathfrak{F}}$ . The subgroups  $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$  and  $G_{\mathfrak{F}}$  are called the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -residual and the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -radical of  $G$ , respectively.

Recall that the product  $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{H}$  of two classes of groups  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the class  $(G|G$  has a normal subgroup  $N$  with  $G/N \in \mathfrak{H})$ ; the product  $\mathfrak{F} \circ \mathfrak{H}$  of two formations  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the class  $(G|G^{\mathfrak{H}} \in \mathfrak{F})$  and the product  $\mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$  of two Fitting classes  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is the class  $(G|G/G_{\mathfrak{F}} \in \mathfrak{H})$ . It is well known that if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is closed under taking normal subgroups and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is closed under taking homomorphic images, then  $\mathfrak{F} \circ \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$  (see [8, p. 388 and 566]). Also it is known that the product of two formations (resp. the product of two Fitting classes) is a formation (resp. a Fitting class) and the multiplication of formations (resp. Fitting classes) satisfies the associative law (see [8, IV, Theorem 1.8(a)(c) and IX, Theorem (1.12)(a)(c)]).

In [9], the author introduced the concept of  $\sigma$ -local formations: a function  $f$  of the form  $f : \sigma \rightarrow \{\text{formations of groups}\}$  is called a *formation  $\sigma$ -function*. Let  $LF_{\sigma}(f) = (G|G = 1$  or  $G \neq 1$  and  $G/O_{\sigma'_i, \sigma_i}(G) \in f(\sigma_i)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ ). A formation is called  $\sigma$ -local if  $\mathfrak{F} = LF_{\sigma}(f)$  for some formation  $\sigma$ -function  $f$ . Note that if  $\sigma = \sigma^1$ , then a formation  $\sigma$ -function and a  $\sigma$ -local formation are just a local function and a local formation in the usual sense, respectively (see [8, IV, Definition (3.1)] or [10, p. 2]).

If  $\Pi \subseteq \sigma$ , then following [2], we write  $\mathfrak{G}_\Pi$  to denote the class of all  $\Pi$ -groups. In particular,  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  is the class of all  $\sigma_i$ -groups and  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  is the class of all  $\sigma'_i$ -groups. Clearly, the classes  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  are both formations and Fitting classes.

A formation  $\sigma$ -function  $f$  of a formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said: *integrated* if  $f(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ ; *full* if  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}f(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(f)$ ; *full integrated* if  $f$  is full and integrated.

In [11,12], the authors proved the following basic results for  $\sigma$ -local formations:

**Theorem A.** [11, Proposition 2.5]. *Every  $\sigma$ -local formation  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a unique full integrated formation  $\sigma$ -function  $F$  such that  $F(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}F(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ .*

**Theorem B.** [12, Theorem 1.14]. *The product  $\mathfrak{F} \circ \mathfrak{H}$  of two  $\sigma$ -local formations  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a  $\sigma$ -local formation.*

Note that in the case that  $\sigma = \sigma^1$ , Theorems A and B are the well-known basic results of Carter-Hawkes-Schmidt [13,14] and Gaschütz-Shemetkov [15,16] (see, also [8, IV, Proposition 3.8 and IV, Theorem 3.13]).

It is well known that Fitting classes may be regarded as dual to formations (see Hartley [17]). Therefore, in connection with the above theory of  $\sigma$ -local formations, it is natural to ask:

**Question 1.** Can we establish the theory of  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes?

The main purpose of this article is to solve the question.

We call any function  $f$  of the form

$$f : \sigma \longrightarrow \{\text{Fitting classes}\}$$

a *Hartley  $\sigma$ -function* (or simply  *$H_\sigma$ -function*), and we put

$$LR_\sigma(f) = (G|G = 1 \text{ or } G \neq 1 \text{ and } G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i) \text{ for all } \sigma_i \in \sigma(G)).$$

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Fitting class. If there is an  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$ , then we say that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\sigma$ -local and  $f$  is a  $\sigma$ -local definition of  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

In the particular case when  $\sigma = \sigma^1$ , we use symbol  $LR(f)$  instead of  $LR_\sigma(f)$ , which was used in [18,19] (see also [10, p. 310]).

If  $f$  is an  $H_\sigma$ -function, then the symbol  $Supp(f)$  denotes the support of  $f$ , that is, the set of all  $\sigma_i$  such that  $f(\sigma_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \bigcup\{\sigma(G)|G \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ .

Before continuing, consider some examples.

**Examples 1.2.** (i) For the Fitting class of all identity groups (1) we have  $(1) = LR_\sigma(f)$ , where  $f(\sigma_i) = \emptyset$  for all  $i$ .

(ii) Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  be the class of all  $\sigma_i$ -groups. Then  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_{\sigma}(f)$ , where  $f(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{F}$  and  $f(\sigma_j) = \emptyset$  for all  $j \neq i$ .

(iii) Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a nonempty Fitting classes and  $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$  be the class of all  $\sigma$ -nilpotent groups. Then  $\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$  is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class. In fact, let  $f$  be the  $H_{\sigma}$ -function such that  $f(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{X}$  for all  $i$ . Clearly, every  $\sigma_i$ -group belongs to  $\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$ , so  $\Pi = Supp(f) = \bigcup \sigma_i = \mathbb{P}$ . Then by Lemma 3.1 below,  $LR_{\sigma}(f) = \bigcap_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i} = \mathfrak{X}(\bigcap_i \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}) = \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$  is  $\sigma$ -local.

(iv) We use  $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}^k$  to denote the product  $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma} \cdots \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$  of  $k$  copies of  $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$  ( $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ), and  $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}^0$  is the class of groups of order 1. Clearly,  $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}^k$  is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class with the  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $f$  such that  $f(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{N}_{\sigma_i}^{k-1}$  for all  $\sigma_i$  by (iii). In particular,  $\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma}$  is the  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class with an  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $f$  such that  $f(\sigma_i) = (1)$  for all  $\sigma_i$ .

(v) Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be a nonempty Fitting class and  $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}$  be the class of all  $\sigma$ -soluble groups. Let  $f$  be the  $H_{\sigma}$ -function such that  $f(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}$  for all  $\sigma_i$ . Then by Lemma 3.1 below,  $LR_{\sigma}(f) = \bigcap_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i} = \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}(\bigcap_{\sigma} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}) = \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}\mathfrak{N}_{\sigma} = \mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}$  and so  $\mathfrak{X}\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}$  is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class. In particular, the class  $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma}$  is  $\sigma$ -local.

It is well known that the operations  $*$  and  $*$  defined by Lockett [20] play important roles in investigations of the structure of classes of groups and canonical subgroups (see [8, Chapters IX-X] and [10, Chapter 5, Section 5.9]). In fact, every nonempty Fitting class can be connected with a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}^*$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}^*$  is the smallest Fitting class containing  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $(G \times H)_{\mathfrak{F}^*} = G_{\mathfrak{F}^*} \times H_{\mathfrak{F}^*}$  for all groups  $G$  and  $H$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{F}_* = \bigcap \{ \mathfrak{X} | \mathfrak{X} \text{ is a Fitting class such that } \mathfrak{X}^* = \mathfrak{F}^* \}$ . A Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called a *Lockett class* if  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}^*$ .

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_{\sigma}(f)$  for some  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $f$ . Then we say that

- (a)  $f$  is integrated if  $f(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  for all  $i$ ;
- (b)  $f$  is full if  $f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} = f(\sigma_i)$  for all  $i$ ;
- (c) full integrated if  $f$  is full and integrated;
- (d) Lockett  $H_{\sigma}$ -function if  $f(\sigma_i)$  is a Lockett class for all  $i$ .

In connection with Theorems A and B, the following dual questions for  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes naturally arises:

**Question 2.** Is it true that every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a unique full integrated  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $F$  such that  $F(\sigma_i) = F(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ ?

**Question 3.** Is it true that the product  $\mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$  of two  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class?

The following Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 resolve Questions 2 and 3.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class can be defined by a unique full integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function  $F$  such that  $F(\sigma_i) = F(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{F}_{\sigma_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  and  $F(\sigma_i)$  is a Lockett class for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *The product  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$  of two  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class. Moreover, if  $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ ,  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$  for integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = LR_\sigma(h)$  for integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function  $h$ , then  $\mathfrak{M}$  can be defined by an  $H_\sigma$ -function  $m$  such that*

$$m(\sigma_i) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{F} \diamond h(\sigma_i) & \text{if } \sigma_i \in \Pi; \\ f(\sigma_i) & \text{if } \sigma_i \in \sigma \setminus \Pi. \end{cases}$$

In the case when  $\sigma = \sigma^1$ , we get from Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 the following well-known results.

**Corollary 1.3.** (Vorob'ev [21]). *Every local Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a unique full integrated  $H$ -function  $F$  such that  $F(p) = F(p)\mathfrak{N}_p \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$  and  $F(p)$  is a Lockett class for all  $p \in \pi(\mathfrak{F})$ .*

**Corollary 1.4.** (Vorob'ev [22]). *The product of two every local Fitting classes is also a local Fitting class.*

## 2. Preliminaries

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  be nonempty formations. If  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $G^{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{H}} \leq G^{\mathfrak{F}}$  for all groups  $G$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** [8, IV, Theorem (1.8)(b)]. *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  are nonempty formations, then  $G^{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{H}} = (G^{\mathfrak{H}})^{\mathfrak{F}}$  for all groups  $G$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** [8, IX, Lemma (1.1)(a)]. *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a nonempty Fitting class and  $G$  a group. If  $N$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $N_{\mathfrak{F}} = N \cap G_{\mathfrak{F}}$ .*

Recall that a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called a *Fischer class* [17] if  $H \in \mathfrak{F}$  whenever  $K \leq H \leq G \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $K \trianglelefteq G$  and  $H/K$  is a  $p$ -group for some  $p \in \mathbb{P}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** [8, X, Proposition (1.25)]. *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fischer class, then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Lockett class.*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a nonempty Fitting class. Then*

- (a)  $(\mathfrak{F}_*)_* = \mathfrak{F}_* = (\mathfrak{F}^*)_* \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F}^* = (\mathfrak{F}^*)^* = (\mathfrak{F}_*)^*$ . [8, X, Theorems (1.8) and (1.15)]

- (b) If  $\mathfrak{H}$  is also nonempty Fitting class and  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}^* \subseteq \mathfrak{H}^*$ . [8, X, Theorem (1.8)]
- (c) Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a nonempty Fitting class and  $\mathfrak{H}$  be both a nonempty local formation and a Fitting class, then  $(\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{H})^* = \mathfrak{F}^*\mathfrak{H}$ . [23, Lemma 3]
- (d) If  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  are nonempty Fitting classes, then  $(\mathfrak{F} \cap \mathfrak{H})^* = \mathfrak{F}^* \cap \mathfrak{H}^*$ . [8, X, Proposition (1.13)]

Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups. Then  $G \wr H$  denotes the regular wreath product of  $G$  with  $H$ . If  $K \leq G$ , we denote by  $K^{\natural}$  the subgroup of the base group of  $K \wr H$  which is isomorphic to the product of  $|H|$  copies of  $K$ . In particular,  $G^{\natural}$  denotes the base group of  $G \wr H$ .

**Lemma 2.6.** [8, X, Proposition (2.1)(a)]. Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Lockett class. If  $G \notin \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $(G \wr H)_{\mathfrak{F}} = (G_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\natural}$  for any group  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.7.** [8, A, Lemma (18.2)(d)]. Let  $W = G \wr H$ . If  $K \trianglelefteq G$  and  $K^{\natural}$  is the base group of  $K \wr H$ , then  $K^{\natural} \trianglelefteq W$  and  $W/K^{\natural} \cong (G/K) \wr H$ .

**Lemma 2.8.** [1, Lemma 1.1] (see also [24, Chapter 2, Lemma 2.1.3]). If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a nonempty formation and  $N \trianglelefteq G$ , then  $(G/K)_{\mathfrak{F}} = G^{\mathfrak{F}}K/K$ .

We use the following modification of [25, Lemma 1.1(2)].

**Lemma 2.9.** Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ . If  $G/N$  is a  $\pi'$ -group, then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\pi} \mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}} = N^{\mathfrak{G}_{\pi} \mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}}$ .

### 3. Properties of $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes

In order to prove our theorems, we need discuss some properties of  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_{\sigma}(f)$  and  $\Pi = Supp(f)$ . Then

- (a)  $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ ;
- (b)  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$  if and only if  $G \in f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ ;
- (c)  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_{\Pi} \cap (\bigcap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i})$ ;
- (d) if every group in  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\sigma$ -soluble, then  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_{\Pi} \cap (\bigcap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i})$ .

**Proof.** (a) If  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ , then  $1 \in f(\sigma_i)$  and for every  $\sigma_i$ -group  $G \neq 1$  we have  $\sigma(G) = \{\sigma_i\}$ . Hence  $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  and so  $1 = G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i)$ . Therefore  $G \in LR_{\sigma}(f) = \mathfrak{F}$ , and consequently  $\Pi \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ . On the other hand, if  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ , then for some group  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$  we have  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$  and  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i)$ . It follows that  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ . Thus  $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ .

(b) If  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ , then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i)$ , and so  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \leq G_{f(\sigma_i)}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  is a formation,

$$(G/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}})/(G_{f(\sigma_i)}/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}}) \cong G/G_{f(\sigma_i)} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}.$$

It follows that  $G \in f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ . Conversely, if  $G \in f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for any  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ , then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i)$ , and so  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ .

(c) If  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ , then  $\sigma(G) \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = \Pi$  by (a). Hence  $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{\Pi}$ . Moreover, for every  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$  we have  $G \in f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  by (b). If  $\sigma_i \in \Pi \setminus \sigma(G)$ , then  $G \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i} \subseteq f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\Pi} \cap (\bigcap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i})$ . The anti-inclusion relationship is obvious by (b).

(d) See the proof (c).  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class can be determined by a full integrated  $H_{\sigma}$ -function.*

**Proof.** Assume that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class. Then there exists an  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $f$  such that  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_{\sigma}(f)$ , and so  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_{\Pi} \cap (\bigcap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i})$ , where  $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{F}) = Supp(f)$ , by Lemma 3.1. We define an  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $\varphi$  as follows:  $\varphi(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)\cap\mathfrak{F}$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ . It is clear that  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_{\sigma}(\varphi)$ .

Now we define the  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $\psi$  such that  $\psi(\sigma_i) = \varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ . It is clear that  $\psi$  is a full  $H_{\sigma}$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . We show that  $\psi$  is an integrated  $H_{\sigma}$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $G \in \psi(\sigma_i)$ . Then  $G/G_{\varphi(\sigma_i)} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  and so  $G \in \varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ . Assume that  $\sigma_j \neq \sigma_i$ , then  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}$  and therefore  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} \leq G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \in \mathfrak{F}$  by Lemma 2.1. It follows that  $(G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} \in \varphi(\sigma_j)$ . Then using Lemma 2.2,  $(G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} = G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} = G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}}$ , so  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} \in \varphi(\sigma_j)$ . Therefore

$$G/G_{\varphi(\sigma_j)} \cong (G/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}})/(G_{\varphi(\sigma_j)}/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}}) \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}.$$

Then  $G \in \varphi(\sigma_j)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}$  for all  $j \neq i$ . This shows that  $G \in \varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for all  $i \in \Pi$ . Hence  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$  by Lemma 3.1(b). The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** (1) *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a nonempty Fitting class. Then the class  $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$  is a Fischer class, for every  $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ .*

(2) *Every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class is a Fischer class.*

**Proof.** (1) Let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$ ,  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $N \leq H \leq G$  and  $H/N$  is a  $q$ -subgroup of  $G/N$ . Then  $H = H_qN$ , where  $H_q$  is some Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $H$ .

Suppose that  $q \notin \pi$ . Then  $H/N \in \mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$ . Since  $N \trianglelefteq G \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$ ,  $N \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$  and so  $H \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$ . Now assume that  $q \in \pi$ . Since  $|G : G_{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}}|$  is a  $\pi'$ -number, every  $q$ -subgroup of  $G$  is contained in  $G_{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}}$ . Hence  $H_q \leq G_{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}}$ . Then  $[N, H_q] \leq [N, G_{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}}] \leq N \cap G_{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}} = N_{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}}$  by Lemma 2.3. Let  $L = N_{\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}}$ . Then  $LH_q \trianglelefteq NH_q$ ,  $LH_q \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'} = \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}$  and  $(NH_q)/(LH_q) \cong N/(L(N \cap H_q)) \in \mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$ . Thus  $H = NH_q \in \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'}$ . Note that if  $\pi = \emptyset$  or  $\pi' = \emptyset$ , then  $\mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi}\mathfrak{G}_{\pi'} = \mathfrak{G}$  and the statement is obvious.

(2) Let  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_{\sigma}(f)$  for some  $H_{\sigma}$ -function  $f$ . Then by Lemma 3.1(c),  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_{\Pi} \cap (\bigcap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i})$ , where  $\Pi = Supp(f)$ . Obviously,  $\mathfrak{G}_{\Pi}$  is a Fischer class since  $\mathfrak{G}_{\Pi}$  is

closed under taking subgroups. Moreover,  $f(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  is a Fischer class for every  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$  by the statement (1) of the lemma. It is clear that the intersection of Fischer classes is a Fischer class. Hence  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fischer class.

The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class is a Lockett class.*

**Proof.** It follows from Lemma 3.3(2) and Lemma 2.4.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5.** *Every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -function.*

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi$  be an  $H_\sigma$ -function of the Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{G}_\Pi \cap (\bigcap_{\sigma_i \in \Pi} \varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i})$ , where  $\Pi = \text{Supp}(\varphi)$ , by Lemma 3.1(c). It is easy to see that for every  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$  the Fitting class  $\varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  is a Fischer class by Lemma 3.3(1). Hence  $\varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  is a Lockett class by Lemma 2.4, that is,  $(\varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i})^* = \varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ . Then by Lemma 2.5(c),  $(\varphi(p))^*\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i} = \varphi(\sigma_i)\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ . We now construct an  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$  as follows:  $f(\sigma_i) = (\varphi(\sigma_i))^*$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ . By Lemma 2.5(a),  $f(\sigma_i)$  is a Lockett class and so  $f$  is a Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -function locally defining  $\mathfrak{F}$ . The lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.6.** *Every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a full integrated Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -function.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.5, every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$ . Let  $\psi(\sigma_i) = (f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F})\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \Pi = \text{Supp}(f)$ . Then from the proof of Lemma 3.1 we see that  $\psi$  is a full integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . We now show that  $\psi$  is a Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -function. In fact,  $\psi^*(\sigma_i) = (f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F})^*\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  by Lemma 2.5(c). Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Lockett class by Corollary 3.4,  $(f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F})^* = f(\sigma_i)^* \cap \mathfrak{F}^* = f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}$  by Lemma 2.5(d) and so  $\psi^*(\sigma_i) = \psi(\sigma_i)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ .  $\square$

#### 4. On minimal $H_\sigma$ -functions of $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes

For any two  $H_\sigma$ -functions  $f$  and  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , following Shemetkov [1], we define a partial as follows:  $f \leq \varphi$  if  $f(\sigma_i) \subseteq \varphi(\sigma_i)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ , where  $\Pi = \text{Supp}(f)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a set of groups, then we use  $\text{Fit}(\mathfrak{X})$  to denote the Fitting class generated by  $\mathfrak{X}$ , that is, the interection of all Fitting classes containing  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class. Then*

(a)  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a unique minimal  $H_\sigma$ -function  $\underline{f}$  such that  $\underline{f}(\sigma_i) = \text{Fit}(G \mid G \cong X^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}}, X \in \mathfrak{F})$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \text{Supp}(f)$ .

(b)  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a unique minimal full  $H_\sigma$ -function  $\underline{\underline{f}}$  such that  $\underline{\underline{f}}(\sigma_i) = \text{Fit}(G \mid G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \cong X^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}}, X \in \mathfrak{F})\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \text{Supp}(f)$ .



**Proof.** (a) Let  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$  for some  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$ . Then by definition of  $\underline{f}$ , we have  $\underline{f} \leq f$ . Hence  $LR_\sigma(\underline{f}) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Conversely, let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in \underline{f}(\sigma_i)$  by the definition of  $\underline{f}$ , and so  $G \in \underline{f}(\sigma_i) \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in Supp(f)$ . By Lemma 3.1(b),  $G \in LR_\sigma(\underline{f})$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq LR_\sigma(\underline{f})$ . Therefore (a) holds.

(b) By Lemma 3.2,  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(\varphi)$  for some integrated full  $H_\sigma$ -function  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $\varphi_1(\sigma_i) = (G|G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \cong X^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}}, X \in \mathfrak{F})$ . If  $G \in \varphi_1(\sigma_i)$ , then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \cong X^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}}$  for some group  $X \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $X \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $X/X_{\varphi(p)} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  by Lemma 3.1(b) and the definition of the product of two Fitting classes, and so  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \cong X^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in \varphi(\sigma_i)$ . Hence  $G \in \varphi(\sigma_i) \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} = \varphi(\sigma_i)$ . Thus  $\varphi_1(\sigma_i) \subseteq \varphi(\sigma_i)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in Supp(\varphi)$  and therefore  $\underline{\underline{f}}(\sigma_i) = Fit(\varphi_1(\sigma_i)) \subseteq \varphi(\sigma_i)$ , i.e.  $\underline{\underline{f}} \leq \varphi$  for every full  $H_\sigma$ -function  $\varphi$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Therefore  $LR_\sigma(\underline{\underline{f}}) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ .

Conversely, let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Since  $(G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} = G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}}$  by Lemma 2.2,  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in \varphi_1(\sigma_i) \subseteq \underline{f}(\sigma_i)$ . Now, by Lemma 2.1,  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \leq G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}}$ . Hence  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in \underline{f}(\sigma_i)$  and so  $G \in \underline{f}(\sigma_i) \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ . By Lemma 3.1(b),  $G \in LR_\sigma(\underline{f})$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq LR_\sigma(\underline{f})$ . This shows that  $\underline{f}$  is an  $H_\sigma$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Moreover, clearly, that  $\underline{\underline{f}}$  is a unique minimal full  $H_\sigma$ -function of  $LR_\sigma(\underline{f})$ .

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

### 5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** By Lemma 3.6, every  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  can be defined by a full integrated Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -function  $F$ . We show that  $F$  is a unique full integrated Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(F) = LR_\sigma(F_1)$ , where  $F$  and  $F_1$  are two full integrated Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -functions of  $G$ . Suppose that  $F \not\leq F_1$ , so for some  $i$  we have  $F(\sigma_i) \not\subseteq F_1(\sigma_i)$ . Let  $G \in F(\sigma_i) \setminus F_1(\sigma_i)$  and  $W = G \wr A$  be the regular wreath product of  $G$  and a  $\sigma_i$ -group  $A$ . If  $G^\natural$  is the base group of  $W$ , then  $G^\natural \in F(\sigma_i)$  since  $F(\sigma_i)$  is a Fitting class. Hence  $G^\natural \leq W_{F(\sigma_i)}$ . Since  $W/G^\natural \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$ , we have that  $W/W_{F(\sigma_i)} \cong (W/G^\natural)/(W_{F(\sigma_i)}/G^\natural) \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$ , and so  $W \in F(\sigma_i) \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} = F(\sigma_i)$ . Since  $F(\sigma_i)$  is a Lockett class and  $G \notin F_1(\sigma_i)$ ,  $W_{F_1(p)} = (G_{F_1(p)})^\natural$  by Lemma 2.6. Now by Lemma 2.7, we have

$$W/W_{F_1(\sigma_i)} \cong (G/G_{F_1(\sigma_i)}) \wr A \notin \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}.$$

But as  $W \in F(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(F_1)$  and  $F_1$  is a full integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , we have that  $W \in F_1(\sigma_i) \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i} = F_1(\sigma_i) \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ , and so  $W/W_{F_1(\sigma_i)} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $F \leq F_1$ . With a similar argument,  $F_1 \leq F$ . Hence  $F_1 = F$ .

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

The following corollary follows directly from Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 4.1.

**Corollary 5.1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(F) = LR_\sigma(\underline{f})$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = LR_\sigma(H) = LR_\sigma(\underline{h})$ , where  $F$  and  $H$  are the unique full integrated Lockett  $H_\sigma$ -functions of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and  $\underline{f}$ ,  $\underline{h}$  are the unique*

minimal  $H_\sigma$ -functions of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$ , respectively. Then any two of the following statements are equivalent:

- (a)  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ ;
- (b)  $\underline{f} \leq \underline{h}$ ;
- (c)  $F \leq H$ .

**Remarks 5.2.** In Theorem 1.1, the uniqueness of the full integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function  $F$  of a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  holds only under the condition that  $F(\sigma_i)$  ( $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ ) is a Lockett class. To illustrate this, we give the following example.

Recall that a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be normal in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}$  is the Fitting class of all soluble groups, if the  $\mathfrak{F}$ -radical of  $G$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -maximal subgroup of  $G$  for every group  $G \in \mathfrak{S}$ . By Blessohl-Gaschütz Theorem [26], the intersection of all nonidentity normal Fitting classes is a nonidentity normal Fitting class  $\mathfrak{S}_*$ . Let  $\sigma = \sigma^1$  and  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{S}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} = LR(f)$ , where  $f$  is an  $H_\sigma$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $f(p) = \mathfrak{S}$  for all primes  $p$ . Obviously,  $f$  is a full integrated  $H$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$  and the value  $f(p)$  is a Lockett class. Let  $\varphi$  be the  $H_\sigma$ -function such that  $\varphi(p) = \mathfrak{S}_* \mathfrak{N}_p$  for all primes  $p$ . Then  $LR(\varphi) = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathfrak{S}_* \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{S}_{p'} = \mathfrak{S}_* (\bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{P}} \mathfrak{N}_p \mathfrak{S}_{p'}) = \mathfrak{S}_* \mathfrak{N}$ . By Cossey Theorem [27],  $\mathfrak{S}_* \mathfrak{N} = \mathfrak{S}$  and so  $\varphi$  is also a full integrated  $H$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Moreover, obviously  $\varphi(p) \neq \mathfrak{S} = f(p)$  for all  $p \in \mathbb{P}$  (see [28, Theorem 3.5(b)]). On the other hand, by Lemma 2.5(a)(c),  $\varphi^*(p) = (\mathfrak{S}_* \mathfrak{N}_p)^* = (\mathfrak{S}_*)^* \mathfrak{N}_p = \mathfrak{S}^* \mathfrak{N}_p = \mathfrak{S} \mathfrak{N}_p = \mathfrak{S}$ . Hence  $\varphi(p) \neq \varphi^*(p)$ , and so  $\varphi$  is not the Lockett function.

**6. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Let  $LR_\sigma(m)$  be a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class with the local  $H_\sigma$ -function such that

$$m(\sigma_i) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{F} \diamond h(\sigma_i) & \text{if } \sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H}) = \Pi; \\ f(\sigma_i) & \text{if } \sigma_i \in \sigma \setminus \Pi. \end{cases}$$

We prove that  $\mathfrak{M} = LR_\sigma(m)$ . Let  $G \in \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$ . First assume that  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G/G_\mathfrak{F})$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} / (G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}})_{\mathfrak{F}} = G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} / (G_\mathfrak{F} \cap G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}}) \cong G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} G_\mathfrak{F} / G_\mathfrak{F}$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}$  is a formation,  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} / (G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}})_{\mathfrak{F}} \cong (G/G_\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}}$  by Lemma 2.8. Since  $G \in \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $G/G_\mathfrak{F} \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Hence  $(G/G_\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} \in h(\sigma_i)$  by Lemma 3.1(b), and so  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} / (G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}})_{\mathfrak{F}} \in h(\sigma_i)$ . Therefore  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} \in \mathfrak{F} \diamond h(\sigma_i)$ . Note that from  $G/G_\mathfrak{F} \in \mathfrak{H}$  we have  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ . Thus  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} \in m(\sigma_i)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H}) \cap \sigma(G/G_\mathfrak{F})$ .

Now assume that  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G) \setminus \sigma(G/G_\mathfrak{F})$ . Then  $G/G_\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\sigma'_i$ -group and so  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} = (G_\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}}$  by Lemma 2.9. Moreover, since  $G_\mathfrak{F} \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $(G_\mathfrak{F})^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(p)$  by Lemma 3.1(b). Hence  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(p)$ . If  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ , then  $G^{\mathfrak{S}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \diamond h(\sigma_i) = m(\sigma_i)$ .

Suppose that  $\sigma_i \notin \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ . Then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in f(\sigma_i) = m(\sigma_i)$ . Thus  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in m(\sigma_i)$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ . Consequently,  $\mathfrak{M} \subseteq LR_\sigma(m)$  by Lemma 3.1(b).

Conversely, we prove that  $LR_\sigma(m) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ . Suppose that  $G \in LR_\sigma(m) \setminus \mathfrak{M}$  and  $G$  is a counterexample of minimal order. Then  $G$  has a unique maximal normal subgroup  $M = G_{\mathfrak{M}} < G$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$  and  $G \notin \mathfrak{M}$ , we have that  $G_{\mathfrak{F}} < G$  and  $G_{\mathfrak{F}} \leq M$ . Hence  $M_{\mathfrak{F}} = G_{\mathfrak{F}} \cap M = G_{\mathfrak{F}}$  by Lemma 2.3. Moreover, from  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$  we have  $M/M_{\mathfrak{F}} = M/G_{\mathfrak{F}} \in \mathfrak{H}$ .

We claim that  $G/M$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $\sigma_i$ . In fact, assume that  $G/M$  is not  $\sigma$ -primary and  $\sigma_i, \sigma_j \in \sigma(G/M)$ . Since  $\overline{G} = G/M$  is a simple group,  $\overline{G}^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} = \overline{1}$  or  $\overline{G}$ . If  $\overline{G}^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} = \overline{1}$ , then  $\overline{G} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  and so  $\overline{G}/\overline{G}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ . But  $\overline{G}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} = \overline{1}$  or  $\overline{G}$ . If  $\overline{G}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} = \overline{1}$ , then  $G/M$  is a  $\sigma'_i$ -group, a contradiction. If  $\overline{G}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} = \overline{G}$ , then  $G/M$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group, a contradiction also. Hence  $G/M$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group for some  $\sigma_i$ .

If  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ , then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in m(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{F} \diamond h(\sigma_i)$  by Lemma 3.1(b). Hence  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} / (G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}})_{\mathfrak{F}} \in h(\sigma_i)$ . As shown above,  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} / (G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}})_{\mathfrak{F}} \cong (G/G_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}}$ . Therefore  $(G/G_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in h(\sigma_i)$ . Now we prove that  $(G/G_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in h(\sigma_j)$  for all  $\sigma_j \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$  different from  $\sigma_i$ . In fact, since  $M \in \mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$ ,  $M/G_{\mathfrak{F}} \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Hence  $\sigma(M/G_{\mathfrak{F}}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ . Then as  $\sigma(G/M) = \{\sigma_i\} \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ , we have that  $\sigma(G/G_{\mathfrak{F}}) \subseteq \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ . Hence  $(G/G_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in h(\sigma_j)$  for all  $\sigma_j \in \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$  where  $\sigma_j \neq \sigma_i$ . Thus  $(G/G_{\mathfrak{F}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_k} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_k}} \in h(\sigma_k)$  for all  $\sigma_k \in \sigma(G/G_{\mathfrak{F}})$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1(b) that  $G/G_{\mathfrak{F}} \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Consequently  $G \in \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{M}$ , a contradiction.

Hence  $\sigma_i \notin \sigma(\mathfrak{H})$ . As  $G/M$  is a  $\sigma_i$ -group, it follows that  $G = G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}}$  (in fact, if  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} < G$ , then  $(G/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}})/(M/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}}) \cong G/M \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$ , a contradiction). Then  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} = (G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} = G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \in m(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i)$  by Lemma 2.2 and the definition of  $m$ . If  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} = G$ , then  $G \in f(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{M}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} < G$ . Since  $M/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \trianglelefteq G/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$ ,  $M/G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}}$  is a  $\sigma'_j$ -group for every  $\sigma_j \in \sigma(G)$  different from  $\sigma_i$ . Hence  $M^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} = (G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}}$  by Lemma 2.9. Since  $G \in LR_\sigma(m)$ , by Lemma 3.1(b)  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}} \in m(\sigma_i) = f(\sigma_i) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Hence  $(G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}})^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} \in f(\sigma_j)$  for every  $\sigma_j \in \sigma(G)$  different from  $\sigma_i$ . Analogously,  $G/M$  is a  $\sigma'_j$ -group and  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} = M^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_j} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_j}} \in f(\sigma_j)$  for every  $\sigma_j \in \sigma(G)$  different from  $\sigma_i$ . Thus  $G^{\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_k} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_k}} \in f(\sigma_k)$  for all  $\sigma_k \in \sigma(G)$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1(b) that  $G \in \mathfrak{F} \subseteq \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{M}$ . The final contradiction completes the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

### 7. On $n$ -multiply $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes

In this section, we generalize the theory of  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes to  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes, which is the dual theory of the paper [12] about  $n$ -multiply local formations.

**Definition 7.1.** Following [18], every Fitting class can be considered as 0-multiply  $\sigma$ -local. Let  $n > 0$ . Then a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is called  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local if it has an  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$

such that every nonempty value  $f(\sigma_i)$  of  $f$  is  $(n - 1)$ -multiply local. A Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be totally  $\sigma$ -local if it is  $n$ -multiply local for all natural number  $n$ .

**Proposition 7.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  be  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes. Then  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$  is a  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class.*

**Proof.** Let  $n = 0$ . Then the statement holds for  $n = 0$  since product of any two Fitting classes is also a Fitting class. Now assume that  $n > 0$  and that the statement is true for  $n - 1$ . Then  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$  and  $\mathfrak{H} = LR_\sigma(h)$  for some  $H_\sigma$ -functions  $f$  and  $h$  such that all values of  $f$  and  $h$  are  $(n - 1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes. Let  $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{F} \diamond \mathfrak{H}$ . In view of Lemma 3.1, we assume without loss of generality that both functions  $f$  and  $h$  are integrated. Then, by Theorem 1.2,  $\mathfrak{M} = LR_\sigma(m)$ , where

$$m(\sigma_i) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{F} \diamond h(\sigma_i) & \text{if } \sigma_i \in \Pi; \\ f(\sigma_i) & \text{if } \sigma_i \in \Pi'. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $(n - 1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local, so  $\mathfrak{F} \diamond h(\sigma_i)$  is  $(n - 1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local by inductive hypothesis. Hence  $m(\sigma_i)$  is  $(n - 1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local for every  $\sigma_i$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.3.** *The intersection of every nonempty set of  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class, and the intersection of every nonempty set of  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting classes is a  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathfrak{F}_i$ , where  $\mathfrak{F}_i = LR_\sigma(f_i)$  for some integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f_i$ ,  $i \in I$ . Let  $f = \bigcap_{j \in I} f_j$ . Evidently,  $f$  is an  $H_\sigma$ -function. We show that  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$ . Since  $f \leq f_j$  for all  $j \in I$ ,  $LR_\sigma(f) \subseteq \mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ . Then  $G/G_{f_j(\sigma_i)} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for all  $j \in I$  and  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  is a formation and  $\bigcap_{j \in I} G_{f_j(\sigma_i)} = G_{\bigcap_{j \in I} f_j(\sigma_i)} = G_{f(\sigma_i)}$ , we have  $G/\bigcap_{j \in I} G_{f_j(\sigma_i)} = G/G_{f(\sigma_i)} \in \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i} \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma'_i}$  for all  $\sigma_i \in \sigma(G)$ . Hence  $G \in LR_\sigma(f)$  by Lemma 3.1(b). This shows that  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class. The second statement can be similarly proved by induction.

The proposition is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 7.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(\varphi)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the full integrated  $H_\sigma$ -function of  $\mathfrak{F}$ , and let  $\Pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ . Then*

- (a) *The Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local if and only if the Fitting class  $\varphi(\sigma_i)$  is  $(n - 1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local for all  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ .*
- (b) *The Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is totally  $\sigma$ -local if and only if the Fitting class  $\varphi(\sigma_i)$  is totally  $\sigma$ -local for all  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ .*

**Proof.** (a) It is enough to show that if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local, then every value of  $\varphi$  is  $(n - 1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local. Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local,  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(f)$  for some  $H_\sigma$ -function  $f$

such that all values  $f(\sigma_i)$  are  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local. Hence  $\mathfrak{F} = LR_\sigma(\varphi)$  by Lemma 3.2, where  $\varphi(\sigma_i) = (f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F})\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  for every  $\sigma_i \in \Pi$ . It is clear that a  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class is  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local, so  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local. Hence the Fitting class  $f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F}$  is also  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local by Proposition 7.3. Note that  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  is a totally  $\sigma$ -local Fitting class with the  $H_\sigma$ -function  $h$  such that  $h(\sigma_i) = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  and  $h(\sigma_j) = \emptyset$  for  $j \neq i$ . It follows from Proposition 7.2 that  $(f(\sigma_i) \cap \mathfrak{F})\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma_i}$  is  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local. Therefore, every value  $\varphi(\sigma_i)$  of  $\varphi$  is  $(n-1)$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local.

(b) This assertion is a corollary of the statement (a) of the theorem.

The theorem is proved.  $\square$

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank the referees for their careful reading and helpful comments.

## References

- [1] L.A. Shemetkov, Formation of Finite Groups, Nauka, Main Editorial Board for Physical and Mathematical Literature, Moscow, 1978.
- [2] A.N. Skiba, On  $\sigma$ -subnormal and  $\sigma$ -permutable subgroups of finite groups, J. Algebra 436 (2015) 1–16.
- [3] A.N. Skiba, On some results in the theory of finite partially soluble groups, Commun. Math. Stat. 4 (2016) 281–309.
- [4] W. Guo, C. Cao, A.N. Skiba, D.A. Sinina, Finite groups with  $\mathcal{H}$ -permutable subgroups, Commun. Math. Stat. 5 (2017) 83–92.
- [5] W. Guo, A.N. Skiba, On  $\Pi$ -quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, Monatsh. Math. 185 (2018) 443–453.
- [6] W. Guo, C. Zhang, A.N. Skiba, On  $\sigma$ -supersoluble groups and one generalization of CLT-groups, J. Algebra 512 (2018) 92–108.
- [7] A.N. Skiba, Some characterizations of finite  $\sigma$ -soluble  $P\sigma T$ -groups, J. Algebra 495 (1) (2018) 114–129.
- [8] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, Finite Soluble Groups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1992.
- [9] A.N. Skiba, On one generalization of local formations, Probl. Phys., Math. Tech. 1 (34) (2018) 76–81.
- [10] W. Guo, Structure Theory for Canonical Classes of Finite Groups, Springer, Heidelberg-New York-Dordrecht-London, 2015.
- [11] C. Zhang, A.N. Skiba, On  $\Sigma_i^q$ -closed classes of finite groups, Ukrainian Math. J. 70 (12) (2018) 1707–1716.
- [12] C. Zhang, V.G. Safonov, A.N. Skiba, On  $n$ -multiply  $\sigma$ -local formations of finite groups, Comm. Algebra 47 (3) (2019) 957–968.
- [13] R.W. Carter, T.O. Hawkes, The  $\mathfrak{F}$ -normalizers of a finite group, J. Algebra 5 (1967) 175–201.
- [14] P. Schmidt, Lokale Formationen Englischer Gruppen, Math. Z. 137 (1974) 31–48.
- [15] W. Gaschütz, Lecture on subgroups of Sylow type in finite soluble groups, Notes on Pure Mathematics, vol. 11, Australian Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1979.
- [16] L.A. Shemetkov, Screens of the product of formations, Dokl. Nats. Akad. Nauk Belarusi 25 (8) (1981) 677–680.
- [17] B. Hartley, On Fischer's dualization of formation theory, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 19 (3) (1969) 193–207.
- [18] N.T. Vorob'ev, On Hawkes's conjecture for radical classes, Sib. Math. J. 37 (6) (1996) 1137–1142.
- [19] W. Guo, S.N. Vorob'ev, Formations defined by Doerk-Hawkes operation, J. Algebra Appl. 17 (12) (2018) 1850229.
- [20] P. Lockett, The Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}^*$ , Math. Z. 137 (1974) 131–136.
- [21] N.T. Vorob'ev, On largest integrated of Hartley's function, Proc. Gomel Univ., Probl. Algebra 1 (2000) 8–13.

- [22] N.T. Vorob'ev, Local products of Fitting classes, *Vestsī Nats. Akad. Navuk Belarusī Ser. Fiz.-Mat. Navuk* 6 (1991) 28–32.
- [23] N.T. Vorob'ev, On radical classes of finite groups with the Lockett condition, *Mat. Zametki* 43 (1988) 161–168. Translated in *Math. Notes* 43 (1988) 91–94.
- [24] W. Guo, *The Theory of Classes of Groups*, Science Press-Kluwer Academic Publishers, Beijing-New York-Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000.
- [25] L.A. Shemetkov, A.N. Skiba, Multiply  $\omega$ -local formations and Fitting classes of finite groups, *Siberian Adv. Math.* 10 (2) (2000) 114–147.
- [26] D. Blessenohl, W. Gaschütz, Über normale Schunk-und Fittingklassen, *Math. Z.* 118 (1970) 1–8.
- [27] J. Cossey, Products of Fitting classes, *Math. Z.* 141 (1975) 289–295.
- [28] J.C. Beidleman, On products and normal Fitting classes, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 28 (1977) 347–356.