

## Injectors in Fitting Sets of Finite Groups

N. T. Vorob'ev\* and M. G. Semenov\*\*

Masherov Vitebsk State University, Vitebsk, Belarus

Received July 29, 2013; in final form, April 23, 2014

**Abstract**—A set of subgroups  $\mathcal{F}$  of a finite group  $G$  is referred to as a *Fitting set* if it is closed with respect to taking normal subgroups, products of normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroups, and inner automorphisms of  $G$ . A Fitting set  $\mathcal{F}$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $\pi$ -saturated if  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  for every subgroup  $H$  in  $G$  such that  $O^{\pi'}(H) \in \mathcal{F}$ . In the paper, it is proved that, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set of a  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$ , then there are  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors in  $G$  and every two of them are conjugate.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0001434615030244

Keywords: *finite group, Fitting set,  $\pi$ -solvable group,  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set.*

### 1. INTRODUCTION

A basic result in the theory of classes of finite solvable groups is the generalization of fundamental Sylow and Hall theorems which was obtained by Fischer, Gaschütz, and Hartley in [1], where it was proved that, for every Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$ , every finite solvable group in  $G$  contains  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors, and every two of them are conjugate. Recall that a class of groups  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be a *Fitting class* if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is closed with respect to taking normal subgroups and products of normal  $\mathfrak{F}$ -subgroups. Here a subgroup  $V$  of a group  $G$  is said to be an  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector of  $G$  if, for every subnormal subgroup  $N$  of the group  $G$ , the subgroup  $V \cap N$  is maximal among the subgroups of  $N$  belonging to  $\mathfrak{F}$ . By a *Fitting set*  $\mathcal{F}$  of a group  $G$  one means a set of subgroups of  $G$  which is closed with respect to taking normal subgroups, their products, and conjugate subgroups. The notion of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of a group for a Fitting set  $\mathcal{F}$  of the group is defined similarly to the above definition of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injector for a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$ .

The validity of the above Fischer–Gaschütz–Hartley theorem in [1] was confirmed by Shemetkov [2] for a Fitting set of a finite partially solvable group (for the solvable case, see also [3]). As was established in [2], for every Fitting set  $\mathcal{F}$  of a finite  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$  ( $\pi$  stands for the set of all prime divisors of all groups in  $\mathcal{F}$ ),  $G$  contains a unique class of conjugate  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors.

Note that, if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting class, then the set of subgroups  $\{H \leq G \mid H \in \mathfrak{F}\}$  of the group  $G$  is a Fitting set of  $G$ . It is denoted by  $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$  and referred to as the *trace of the Fitting class*  $\mathfrak{F}$  in the group  $G$ . As is well known (see [4, Examples VIII.2.2]), to every Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  there corresponds its trace in the group  $G$ ; however, the converse is false in general. Moreover, it is clear that the set of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors for a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  and of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors for the Fitting set  $\mathcal{F} = \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$  coincide and, therefore, the above-mentioned theorem of Shemetkov [2], in particular, implies the Fischer–Gaschütz–Hartley theorem [1].

Let  $\pi$  be an arbitrary nonempty set of primes and let  $\pi'$  be the complement of  $\pi$  in the set of all primes. The main result of the present paper is the proof of the fact that every  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors for every  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $G$ , and every two of these injectors are conjugate (Theorem 3.10).

In the concluding section of the paper, we generalize Shemetkov's result from [2] concerning the existence and conjugacy of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors by weakening the condition that the group is  $\pi$ -solvable and replacing it by the condition that an appropriate quotient group of  $G$  is  $\pi$ -solvable. All groups considered in the paper are finite. For the definitions and notation which we do not present, see [4]–[6] if necessary.

\*E-mail: vorobyovnt@tut.by

\*\*E-mail: mg-semenow@mail.ru

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set of subgroups of a group  $G$ ,  $H \leq G$ , and  $\mathcal{X}_H = \{S \leq H : S \in \mathcal{X}\}$ . In this case, if  $\mathcal{X}$  is a Fitting set of  $G$ , then  $\mathcal{X}_H$  is obviously a Fitting set of the group  $H$ . Denote by the symbol  $G_{\mathcal{X}}$  the largest normal  $\mathcal{X}$ -subgroup of  $G$ . This subgroup is referred to as the  $\mathcal{X}$ -radical of  $G$ .

We shall use the well-known property of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -radical of a group given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** (see [4, Property VIII.2.4(a)]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Fitting set of a group  $G$ , and let  $N$  be a subnormal subgroup. Then  $N_{\mathcal{F}} = G_{\mathcal{F}} \cap N$ .*

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a set of subgroups of a group  $G$ .

(a) An  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup  $V$  of  $G$  is said to be (see [4, VIII.2.5(a)])  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal if it follows from  $V \leq W \leq G$  and  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  that  $V = W$ .

(b) By an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$  one means (see [4, VIII.2.5(b)]) a subgroup  $V$  such that  $V \cap K$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal subgroup of  $K$  for every subnormal subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ .

We also use some known assertions concerning  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of a group for Fitting sets; we present these assertions as lemmas.

**Lemma 2.3** (see [4, Theorem VIII.2.9]). *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fitting set of a solvable group  $G$ , then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors, and every two of them are conjugate.*

By the symbol  $\sigma(G)$  we denote the set of all prime divisors of the order of the group  $G$  and by  $\sigma(\mathcal{F})$  the union of the sets  $\sigma(G)$  for all groups  $G$  in a Fitting set  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Lemma 2.4** (see [2, Theorem 2.2]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Fitting set of a  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$ , where  $\pi = \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ . Then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors, and every two of them are conjugate.*

**Lemma 2.5** (see [3, Property 2.2]). *Let  $A$  be a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ . Then the following assertions hold.*

(1) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fitting set of  $G$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , then*

$$\overline{\mathcal{F}} = \{S/A : A \leq S \in \mathcal{F}\}$$

*is a Fitting set of the group  $G/A$ . Moreover, if  $V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ , then  $V/A$  is an  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -injector of  $G/A$ .*

(2) *If  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$  is a Fitting set of  $G/A$  and  $V/A$  is an  $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ -injector of  $G/A$ , then*

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{S \leq G : (SA)/A \in \overline{\mathcal{F}}\}$$

*is a Fitting set of  $G$  and  $V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -injector of  $G$ .*

(3) *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fitting set of  $G$  and  $V \in \mathcal{F}$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $VA = G$  and  $V \cap A$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $A$ , then  $V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ .*

(4) *If  $V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ , then  $VA/A$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G/A$ .*

Recall that the symbol  $F(G)$  denotes the Fitting subgroup of a group  $G$ , i.e., the largest normal nilpotent subgroup of  $G$ , and the symbol  $F_{\pi}(G)$  denotes the largest normal  $\pi$ -nilpotent subgroup of  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.6** (see [5, Corollary 4.1.2]). *For every  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$ , we have the inclusion*

$$C_G(F_{\pi}(G)) \subseteq F_{\pi}(G).$$

Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be the set of all primes, let  $\pi \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ , and let  $\pi' = \mathbb{P} \setminus \pi$ . Recall that the symbol  $O_{\pi'}(G)$  denotes the largest normal  $\pi'$ -subgroup of a group  $G$  and the symbol  $O^{\pi}(G)$  denotes the smallest normal subgroup of  $G$  for which the quotient group  $G/O^{\pi}(G)$  is a  $\pi$ -group.

To prove the main result, we use also properties of Hall  $\theta$ -bases.

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\theta$  be a finite system of pairwise disjoint subsets of the set of primes,

$$\theta = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \dots, \pi_k\}.$$

The set of subgroups

$$H_1, H_2, H_3, \dots, H_k \tag{2.1}$$

is said to be (see [8]) a *Hall  $\theta$ -Base of a group  $G$*  if these subgroups satisfy the following conditions:

- 1)  $H_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , is a Hall  $\pi_i$ -subgroup of  $G$ ;
- 2) the subgroups (2.1) pairwise commute.

**Lemma 2.8** (see [8, Theorem 1]). *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group, let  $\bar{\pi}$  be the set of prime divisors of the order of  $G$  not belonging to  $\pi$ , and let a set  $\theta = \{\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \dots, \pi_k\}$  be an arbitrary finite system of pairwise disjoint subsets  $\pi_1, \pi_2, \pi_3, \dots, \pi_k$  of the set of prime numbers satisfying the following condition: either  $\pi_i \cap \bar{\pi} = \emptyset, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , or  $\theta$  contains a  $\pi_s$  such that  $\bar{\pi} \subseteq \pi_s$ .*

*Then the group  $G$  admits at least one Hall  $\theta$ -base and every two Hall  $\theta$ -bases are conjugate to each other.*

### 3. INJECTORS FOR $\pi$ -SATURATED FITTING SETS

The present subsection is devoted to the proof of the existence and conjugacy of injectors for a  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set of a  $\pi$ -solvable group.

**Definition 3.1.** A Fitting set  $\mathcal{F}$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  *$\pi$ -saturated* if  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  for every subgroup  $H$  in  $G$  such that  $O^{\pi'}(H) \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We use the notion of strong  $\pi$ -closeness for  $\pi$ -subgroups of a group and some properties of this notion.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $G$  be a group, let  $\pi$  be a set of primes, and let  $H_0$  be a  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H_0 \leq H \in \text{Hall}_{\pi}(G)$ . A subgroup  $H_0$  of  $H$  is said to be *strongly  $\pi$ -closed with respect to  $G$*  if  $H_0^g \cap H \leq H_0$  for any  $g \in G$ .

Note that the notion of strong closeness ( $\pi$ -closeness for  $\pi = \{p\}$ ) was introduced in [7].

Let us prove the properties of strong  $\pi$ -closeness of subgroups similar to properties of strong closeness in [7] that we use below.

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group, let  $\pi$  be a set of primes, and let  $H_0$  be a  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $H_0 \leq H \in \text{Hall}_{\pi}(G)$ . If  $H_0$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $H$  with respect to  $G$ , then the following assertions hold:*

- 1) *if  $H_0 \leq H^x$  for some element  $x \in G$ , then the subgroup  $H_0$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $H^x$  with respect to  $G$ ;*
- 2) *if  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , then the subgroup  $H_0N/N$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $HN/N$  with respect to  $G/N$ ;*
- 3)  *$H_0^x$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $H^x$  with respect to  $G$ .*

**Proof.** 1) It follows from the condition  $H_0 \leq H^x$  that  $H_0^{x^{-1}} \leq H$ . Then, by the definition of strong  $\pi$ -closeness,

$$H_0^{x^{-1}} = H_0^{x^{-1}} \cap H \leq H_0.$$

Hence  $x \in N_G(H_0)$ . Since, for every element  $g \in G$ , we have

$$H_0^g \cap H^x = (H_0^{gx^{-1}} \cap H)^x \leq H_0^x = H_0,$$

it follows that  $H_0$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $H^x$  with respect to  $G$ .

2) Note that, for every element  $g \in G$ , there is an element  $x \in N$  for which

$$H_0^g \cap HN = H_0^g \cap H^x = (H_0^{gx^{-1}} \cap H)^x \leq H_0^x \leq H_0N.$$

This implies that  $H_0N/N$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $HN/N$  with respect to  $G/N$ .

3) For every element  $g \in G$ , the inclusion  $H_0^g \cap H \leq H_0$  holds. However,

$$(H_0^g \cap H)^x = H_0^{gx} \cap H^x \leq H_0^x.$$

Therefore, since the choice of  $g$  is arbitrary,  $H_0^x$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $H^x$  with respect to  $G$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group and let  $H_0$  be strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $H \in \text{Hall}_\pi(G)$  with respect to  $G$ . Then there is a normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  such that  $N \cap H = H_0$ .*

**Proof.** We carry out the proof by induction on the order of the group. Let  $G$  be a group of the least order for which the lemma is false and let  $M$  be a nonidentity normal subgroup of  $G$ . Denote by  $\overline{K}$  the subgroup  $KM/M$  of the quotient group  $\overline{G} = G/M$ . Then, by assertion 2 of Lemma 3.3, the subgroup  $\overline{H}_0$  is strongly  $\pi$ -closed in  $\overline{H}$  with respect to  $\overline{G}$ . Since  $|M| > 1$ , it follows that  $|\overline{G}| < |G|$ . In this case, by induction,  $\overline{G}$  contains a normal subgroup  $\overline{L}$  such that  $\overline{L} \cap \overline{H} = \overline{H}_0$ . Hence the group  $G$  contains a normal subgroup  $L$  such that  $LM \cap HM = H_0M$ . Applying the Dedekind identity, we obtain

$$LM \cap H = LM \cap HM \cap H = H_0M \cap H = H_0(M \cap H).$$

This means that  $G$  contains a normal subgroup  $N$  for which  $N \cap H = H_0(M \cap H)$ .

Let  $O_{\pi'}(G) \neq 1$  and  $M = O_{\pi'}(G)$ . Then

$$N \cap H = H_0(O_{\pi'}(G) \cap H).$$

Since  $H$  is a  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $O_{\pi'}(G)$  is a  $\pi'$ -subgroup, it follows that  $O_{\pi'}(G) \cap H = 1$ . Hence  $N \cap H = H_0$ , and the lemma is true in this case.

Suppose that  $O_\pi(G) \cap H_0 \neq 1$ . Thus, we may assume that  $M = O_\pi(G) \cap H_0$ . Then

$$N \cap H = H_0(O_\pi(G) \cap H_0 \cap H) = H_0,$$

and the lemma holds.

Suppose now that  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$  and  $O_\pi(G) \cap H_0 = 1$ . Then  $H_0 \leq C_G(O_\pi(G))$ . Note that the inclusion  $C_G(F_\pi(G)) \subseteq F_\pi(G)$  holds by Lemma 2.6. Since  $O_{\pi'}(G) = 1$ , we have  $C_G(O_\pi(G)) \subseteq O_\pi(G)$ . Hence

$$H_0 \leq C_G(O_\pi(G)) \leq O_\pi(G), \quad H_0 = O_\pi(G) \cap H_0 \neq 1.$$

The contradiction thus obtained completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** (see [7]). *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group and let  $P_0$  be strongly closed in  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  with respect to  $G$  for some prime  $p$  in  $\pi$ . Then there is a normal subgroup  $N$  in  $G$  such that  $N \cap P = P_0$ .*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let a group  $G$  be  $\pi$ -solvable, let  $\pi_1$  be a subset of the set  $\pi$ , and let  $H_0$  be a strongly  $\pi_1$ -closed subgroup of  $H \in \text{Hall}_{\pi_1}(G)$  with respect to  $G$ . Then the following assertions hold:*

- 1) if a group  $L_0$  is strongly  $\pi'$ -closed in  $L \in \text{Hall}_{\pi'}(G)$  with respect to  $G$ , then there are elements  $s \in G$  and  $t \in G$  such that

$$H_0^s L_0^t = L_0^t H_0^s;$$

- 2) if  $\pi_2$  is a subset of  $\pi$  such that either  $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \emptyset$  or  $\pi_1 = \pi_2$  and a subgroup  $L_0$  is strongly  $\pi_2$ -closed in  $L \in \text{Hall}_{\pi_2}(G)$  with respect to  $G$ , then there are elements  $s \in G$  and  $t \in G$  such that  $H_0^s L_0^t = L_0^t H_0^s$ .

**Proof.** 1) Let a group  $L_0$  be strongly  $\pi'$ -closed in  $L \in \text{Hall}_{\pi'}(G)$  with respect to  $G$  and let  $\theta = \{\pi_1, \pi'\}$ . Note that, for this choice of a set  $\theta$ ,  $G$  admits at least one Hall  $\theta$ -base by Lemma 2.8. Since the Hall subgroups are conjugate, it follows that the group  $G$  contains elements  $s \in G$  and  $t \in G$  for which  $H^s$  and  $L^t$  belong to a Hall  $\theta$ -base. Thus,  $H^s L^t = L^t H^s$ . In this case, by assertion 3 of Lemma 3.3 and by Lemma 3.4, there are normal subgroups  $N$  and  $M$  in  $G$  such that  $N \cap H^s = H_0^s$  and  $M \cap L^t = L_0^t$ . Hence

$$H_0^s L_0^t = (N \cap H^s)(M \cap L^t) \subseteq NL^t \cap H^s M \cap H^s L^t.$$

Since  $N \cap H^s = H_0^s$ , we have  $H_0^s \in \text{Hall}_{\pi_1}(N)$ . Then it follows from  $L^t \in \mathfrak{E}_{\pi'} \subseteq \mathfrak{E}_{\pi_1}$  that

$$|NL^t| = |H_0^s| \cdot l, \quad \text{where } l \text{ is a } \pi_1'\text{-number.}$$

Similarly,  $|H^s M| = |L_0^t| \cdot m$ , where  $m$  is a  $\pi$ -number. Since  $|NL^t \cap H^s M \cap H^s L^t|$  divides  $|NL^t|$ ,  $|H^s M|$ , and  $|H^s L^t|$ , we obtain

$$|NL^t \cap H^s M \cap H^s L^t| \leq |H_0^s| \cdot |L_0^t| = |H_0^s L_0^t|.$$

Hence  $NL^t \cap H^s M \cap H^s L^t = H_0^s L_0^t$ , and  $H_0^s L_0^t$  is a subgroup of  $G$ . This fact completes the proof of assertion 1).

2) Let the group  $L_0$  be strongly  $\pi_2$ -closed in  $L \in \text{Hall}_{\pi_2}(G)$  with respect to  $G$  and let  $\pi_2 \subseteq \pi$ . Consider two cases.

a) Case  $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \emptyset$ . Let  $\theta = \{\pi_1, \pi_2\}$ . Then, by Lemma 2.8, the group  $G$  admits at least one Hall  $\theta$ -base. As in the proof of assertion 1), there are elements  $s \in G$  and  $t \in G$  such that  $H^s$  and  $L^t$  belong to a Hall  $\theta$ -base and  $H^s L^t = L^t H^s$ . Further, taking the equation  $\pi_1 \cap \pi_2 = \emptyset$  into account and following the lines of the proof of assertion 1) of the present lemma, one can readily show that

$$NL^t \cap H^s M \cap H^s L^t = H_0^s L_0^t.$$

Thus,  $H_0^s L_0^t$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $H_0^s L_0^t = L_0^t H_0^s$ .

b) Case  $\pi_1 = \pi_2$ . Since the Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroups of  $G$  are conjugate, there are elements  $s \in G$  and  $t \in G$  such that  $H^s = L^t = \overline{H}$ . In this case, by assertion 3 of Lemma 3.3 and by Lemma 3.4, there are normal subgroups  $N$  and  $M$  in  $G$  such that  $N \cap \overline{H} = H_0^s$  and  $M \cap \overline{H} = L_0^t$ . Hence, by Lemma 4.1 of [9],

$$H_0^s L_0^t = (N \cap \overline{H})(M \cap \overline{H}) = NM \cap \overline{H}.$$

Thus,  $H_0^s L_0^t$  is a subgroup of  $G$  and  $H_0^s L_0^t = L_0^t H_0^s$ . □

**Corollary 3.7** (see [7]). *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group, let  $p$  and  $q$  be primes in  $\pi$ , and let a group  $P_0$  be strongly closed in  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  with respect to  $G$  and a group  $Q_0$  be strongly closed in  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$  with respect to  $G$ . Then there are elements  $s \in G$  and  $t \in G$  such that*

$$P_0^s Q_0^t = Q_0^t P_0^s.$$

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group and  $p \in \pi$ . If a group  $P_0$  is strongly closed in  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$  with respect to  $G$  and  $H_0$  is strongly  $\pi'$ -closed in  $H \in \text{Hall}_{\pi'}(G)$  with respect to  $G$ , then there are elements  $s \in G$  and  $t \in G$  such that*

$$P_0^s H_0^t = H_0^t P_0^s.$$

The crucial property for the proof of the main result of the paper is as follows.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set of  $G$ . Let  $N$  be a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is either a  $\pi'$ -group or a nilpotent  $\pi$ -group. If  $W$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal subgroup of  $N$  and if  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal subgroups  $G$  such that  $W \leq V_1 \cap V_2$ , then the subgroups  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are conjugate in  $G$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a group of the least order for which the lemma fails. Note that  $W = V_1 \cap N = V_2 \cap N$  and  $V_i \leq N_G(W)$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . It can readily be seen that the conditions of the lemma are satisfied for the group  $N_G(W)$ . If  $N_G(W) < G$ , then the lemma holds for  $N_G(W)$  by induction. In this case, the lemma obviously holds for the group  $G$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $N_G(W) = G$ , i.e.,  $W \trianglelefteq G$ . Thus,

$$V_i/W = V_i/V_i \cap N \cong V_i N/N \leq G/N \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Consider two cases.

*Case 1:  $G/N$  is a  $\pi'$ -group.* In that case,  $V_i/W$  is a  $\pi'$ -group. Hence there are Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroups  $H_i/W$  of the quotient group  $G/W$  such that  $V_i/W \leq H_i/W$ . Since  $H_i/W$  are  $\pi'$ -subgroups and  $W \trianglelefteq G$ , it follows that  $O^{\pi'}(H_i) \trianglelefteq W$ . Thus,  $O^{\pi'}(H_i) \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set of  $G$ , it follows that  $H_i \in \mathcal{F}$ . In this case, since  $V_i$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal, one can conclude that  $V_i = H_i$ , and the conjugacy of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  follows from the conjugacy of the Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroups.

*Case 2:  $G/N$  is a nilpotent  $\pi$ -group.* In this case, since  $W$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal in  $N$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  is  $\pi$ -saturated, it follows that  $N/W$  is also a  $\pi$ -group. Hence by the isomorphism

$$G/N \cong G/W/N/W,$$

we see that  $G/W$  is a solvable  $\pi$ -group, and the proof is just like that of Lemma VIII.2.8 in [4].  $\square$

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set of  $G$ . Then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors, and every two of them are conjugate.*

**Proof.** Let us prove the theorem by induction on the order of the group for all pairs  $(G, \mathcal{F})$  satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order, and let  $M$  be a maximal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since the group  $G$  is  $\pi$ -solvable, it follows that the quotient group  $G/M$  is either a  $\pi'$ -group or an elementary Abelian  $p$ -group for some prime  $p \in \pi$ . Consider the following two cases.

*Case 1:  $G/M$  is a  $\pi'$ -group for every maximal normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$ .* By the induction assumption, there are  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors in  $M$ . Let  $V_1$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $M$  and let  $\bar{V}_1$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $V_1 \leq \bar{V}_1$ . We claim that  $\bar{V}_1 \cap N$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $N$  for every maximal normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ .

By induction, there are  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $N$ , and any two of them are conjugate. Let  $V_2$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $N$  and let  $\bar{V}_2$  be a maximal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $V_2 \leq \bar{V}_2$ . It follows from the conjugacy of injectors of  $M$  and  $N$ , and also of  $M \cap N$ , that

$$W = V_1 \cap M \cap N = V_2 \cap M \cap N.$$

Then  $W \leq \bar{V}_1 \cap \bar{V}_2$ . Since  $G/M \cap N$  is a  $\pi'$ -group in the present case, it follows that, by Lemma 3.9, there is an element  $x \in G$  for which  $\bar{V}_1^x = \bar{V}_2$ . Hence

$$(\bar{V}_1 \cap N)^x = \bar{V}_1^x \cap N = \bar{V}_2 \cap N = V_2.$$

It follows now from the conjugacy of the  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $N$  that  $\bar{V}_1 \cap N$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $N$  for every maximal normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$ . Hence the subgroup  $\bar{V}_1$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ , and this proves the existence of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $G$ .

Let us prove now the conjugacy of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $G$ . Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $G$ . Then the subgroups  $V_1 \cap M$  and  $V_2 \cap M$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $M$ . Hence, by induction, there is an element  $x$  of the group  $M$  such that

$$(V_1 \cap M)^x = V_1^x \cap M = V_2 \cap M.$$

Let

$$W = V_1^x \cap M = V_2 \cap M.$$

Then  $V_1^x$  and  $V_2$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal subgroups of  $G$  containing  $W$ . Moreover, the subgroup  $W$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $M$ . Hence  $V_1^x$  and  $V_2$  are conjugate in  $G$ , and this completes the proof of the theorem in Case 1.

*Case 2. There is a maximal normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $G/M$  is a  $p$ -group for some number  $p \in \pi$ .* In this case,  $O^p(G) < G$ . If  $O^p(G) = 1$ , then the group  $G$  is solvable, and the theorem holds by Lemma 2.3. Let  $O^p(G) \neq 1$ . Then, by induction, there are  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors in the group  $O^p(G)$ , and any two of them are conjugate. If a subgroup  $S$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $O^p(G)$ , then the subgroup  $S^g$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $O^p(G)$  for any  $g \in G$ . Applying induction again, we see that  $S^g = S^h$  for some element  $h \in O^p(G)$ . By the Frattini lemma,  $G = N_G(S)O^p(G)$ . Hence if  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N_G(S)$ , then  $G = PO^p(G)$ .

Let  $R$  be a subgroup generated by the  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroups of the group  $PS$  that contain  $S$ . Since every subgroup of this kind is subnormal in  $PS$ , it follows that  $R \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $T$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $S$  is contained in  $T$ . Note that  $T \cap O^p(G)$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup. It follows from the  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximality of  $S$  in  $O^p(G)$  that  $S = T \cap O^p(G)$ . Hence  $T \leq N_G(S)$ . Thus, every Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $T$  is conjugate in  $N_G(S)$  to a subgroup of  $P$ . Since the quotient group

$$T/S = T/T \cap O^p(G) \cong TO^p(G)/O^p(G)$$

is a  $p$ -group, it follows that  $T$  is conjugate to a subgroup of the form  $P_0S$  in  $N_G(S)$  for some subgroup  $P_0$  of  $P$ . Hence all extensions of  $S$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  are conjugate in  $N_G(S)$  to subgroups of  $R$ . In particular, if there are  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $G$ , then they are conjugate to  $R$ .

Thus, to complete the proof of the theorem, it remains to show that  $R$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ . Since the subgroup  $R$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal in  $G$ , it suffices to prove that  $R$  contains an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of a subgroup  $L$  for every maximal normal subgroup  $L$  of  $G$ .

Since the group  $G$  is  $\pi$ -solvable, it follows that either  $|G : L| = q$  for some prime  $q \in \pi$  or  $|G : L|$  is a  $\pi'$ -number.

Let  $T$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of the group  $L$ . The subgroups

$$T \cap L \cap O^p(G) = T \cap O^p(G) \quad \text{and} \quad S \cap L \cap O^p(G) = S \cap L$$

are  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of the normal subgroup  $L \cap O^p(G)$ . Hence these subgroups are conjugate in the group  $L \cap O^p(G)$ . Choose a group  $T$  in such a way that

$$T \cap O^p(G) = L \cap S = U.$$

Consider the following two cases separately.

*Case 2.1. The index  $|G : L|$  is a  $\pi'$ -number.* Let  $P_1 \in \text{Syl}_p(T)$ , and let  $H_1 \in \text{Hall}_{\pi'}(S)$ . Note that the group

$$T/U = T/T \cap O^p(G) \cong TO^p(G)/O^p(G)$$

is a  $p$ -group, and the group

$$S/U = S/S \cap L \cong SL/L$$

is a  $\pi'$ -group. Hence  $T = P_1U$  and  $S = H_1U$ . Since  $S$  and  $T$  are subgroups of  $N_G(U)$ , it follows that there are a Sylow subgroup  $P$  and a Hall  $\pi'$ -subgroup  $H$  of  $N_G(U)$  for which  $P_1 \leq P$  and  $H_1 \leq H$ . If  $g \in N_G(U)$ , then

$$(H_1^g \cap H)U \leq S^g \in \mathcal{F}.$$

Since  $HU/U$  is a  $\pi'$ -group, it follows that  $\langle H_1^g \cap H, H_1 \rangle U/U$  is also a  $\pi'$ -group. Since the Fitting set is  $\pi$ -saturated and  $U \trianglelefteq HU$ , it follows now that the group  $\langle H_1^g \cap H, H_1 \rangle U$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $HU$ . Thus,

$$S \leq \langle H_1^g \cap H, H_1 \rangle U \leq \langle S^g, S \rangle \leq O^p(G).$$

It follows from the  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximality of  $S$  in  $O^p(G)$  that  $H_1^g \cap H \leq H_1$ . Thus,  $H_1$  is strongly  $\pi'$ -closed in  $H$  with respect to  $N_G(U)$ . It can readily be seen that  $(P_1^g \cap P)U$  and  $T = P_1U$  are subnormal subgroups of  $PU$  and, therefore,  $\langle P_1^g \cap P, P_1 \rangle U$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroup of  $PU$ . In this case,

$$T \leq \langle P_1^g \cap P, P_1 \rangle U \leq \langle T^g, T \rangle \leq L,$$

and we have  $P_1^g \cap P \leq P_1$  because  $T$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal in  $L$ . Thus,  $P_1$  is strongly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $N_G(U)$ . Therefore, by Corollary 3.8, we conclude that there is an element  $g \in N_G(U)$  for which the product  $P_1^g H_1$  is a subgroup of  $N_G(U)$ .

Let

$$K = P_1^g H_1 U = (P_1 U)^g (H_1 U) = T^g S.$$

$K$  is a subgroup. Then

$$K \cap O^p(G) = T^g S \cap O^p(G) = (T^g \cap O^p(G))S = (T \cap O^p(G))^g S = U^g S = US = S$$

and, similarly,  $K \cap L = T^g$ . Hence  $S$  and  $T^g$  are normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroups of  $K$  and, therefore,  $K \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $S$  is contained in  $K$ , it follows that  $R$  contains a subgroup conjugate to  $K$ . Hence  $R$  contains an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of the subgroup  $L$ , and the theorem is proved in Case 2.1.

It remains to consider

*Case 2.2. The index  $|G : L|$  is equal to  $q$  for some prime  $q \in \pi$ . Let*

$$P_1 \in \text{Syl}_p(T) \quad \text{and} \quad Q_1 \in \text{Syl}_q(S).$$

Note that the group

$$T/U = T/T \cap O^p(G) \cong TO^p(G)/O^p(G)$$

is a  $p$ -group, and the group

$$S/U = S/S \cap L \cong SL/L$$

is a  $q$ -group. In this case,  $T = P_1U$  and  $S = Q_1U$ . Since  $S$  and  $T$  are subgroups of  $N_G(U)$ , it follows that there are Sylow subgroups  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $N_G(U)$  such that  $P_1 \leq P$  and  $Q_1 \leq Q$ . If  $g \in N_G(U)$ , then

$$(P_1^g \cap P)U \leq T^g \in \mathcal{F}.$$

As in Case 2.1,  $P_1$  is strongly closed in  $P$  with respect to  $N_G(U)$  and  $Q_1$  is strongly closed in  $Q$  with respect to  $N_G(U)$ . By Corollary 3.7, there is an element  $g \in N_G(U)$  such that the product  $P_1^g Q_1$  is a subgroup of  $N_G(U)$ .

Let

$$K_2 = P_1^g Q_1 U = (P_1 U)^g (Q_1 U) = T^g S.$$

$K_2$  is a subgroup. In this case,

$$K_2 \cap O^p(G) = T^g S \cap O^p(G) = (T^g \cap O^p(G))S = (T \cap O^p(G))^g S = U^g S = US = S.$$

Similarly, one can show that  $K_2 \cap L = T^g$ . Hence  $S$  and  $T^g$  are normal  $\mathcal{F}$ -subgroups of  $K_2$  and  $K_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $S$  is contained in  $K_2$ , it follows that  $R$  contains a subgroup conjugate to  $K_2$ . Hence  $R$  contains an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of the subgroup  $L$ .  $\square$

Following Definition 3.1, we say that a Fitting class  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\pi$ -saturated if  $\mathfrak{F} = \mathfrak{F}\mathfrak{E}_{\pi'}$ .

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group, and let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting class of  $G$ . Then there are  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors in the group  $G$ , and every two of them are conjugate.*



**Proof.** Let

$$\mathcal{F} = \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = \{H \leq G : H \in \mathfrak{F}\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{F}$  is a  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting set, and the sets of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors and  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors of the group  $G$  coincide. The existence and conjugacy of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors  $G$  now immediately follows from Theorem 3.10.  $\square$

A group  $G$  is said to be (see [5, p. 251])  $\pi$ -closed if it has a normal Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup and  $\pi$ -special if it has a normal nilpotent Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup. It can readily be seen that the class of all  $\pi$ -closed groups and the class of all  $\pi$ -special groups are  $\pi$ -saturated Fitting classes. Therefore, the following assertions hold.

**Corollary 3.12.** *Every  $\pi$ -solvable group contains a unique class of conjugate  $\pi$ -closed injectors.*

**Corollary 3.13.** *Every  $\pi$ -solvable group contains  $\pi$ -special injectors, and any two of them are conjugate.*

**Corollary 3.14** (see [7, Theorem 3]). *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a Fitting set of a solvable group  $G$ , then  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors, and every two of them are conjugate.*

**Corollary 3.15** (see [1, Theorem 1]). *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is a Fitting class and a group  $G$  is solvable, then  $G$  contains  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors, and every two of them are conjugate.*

#### 4. INJECTORS OF GROUPS WITH $\pi$ -SOLVABLE QUOTIENT GROUP

In this subsection, we extend known results of Shemetkov [2] and Ballester-Bolinches [6, Theorem 2.4.27] on the existence and conjugacy of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of a group  $G$  under the assumption that the quotient group by the  $\mathcal{F}$ -radical is  $\pi$ -solvable (rather than the group  $G$  itself is  $\pi$ -solvable).

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Fitting set of a group  $G$  and let  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}}$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group, where  $\pi = \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ . Then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors, and every two of them are conjugate.*

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.5, the set

$$\mathcal{F}^* = \{H/G_{\mathcal{F}} : H \in \mathcal{F} \wedge G_{\mathcal{F}} \leq H\}$$

is a Fitting set of the group  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = \{S \leq G : SG_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}^* \wedge S \trianglelefteq SG_{\mathcal{F}}\}$$

is a Fitting set of  $G$ .

Let us show first that the equation  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_{\mathcal{F}_0}$  holds for every subnormal subgroup  $K$  in  $G$ . Obviously,  $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  and  $K_{\mathcal{F}_0} \leq K_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Since  $K_{\mathcal{F}}G_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $K_{\mathcal{F}}G_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}^*$ , it follows that  $K_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}_0$  and  $K_{\mathcal{F}} \leq K_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ . Thus,  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_{\mathcal{F}_0}$ .

Note that  $\sigma(\mathcal{F}^*) \subseteq \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ , and  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}}$  is a  $\pi$ -solvable group for  $\pi = \sigma(\mathcal{F}^*)$ . Now, applying Lemma 2.4, we see that the  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}}$  contains an  $\mathcal{F}^*$ -injector  $V/G_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.5,  $V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -injector of  $G$ . We claim that  $V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ . To this end, it suffices to show that, for every subnormal subgroup  $K$  of  $G$ , the subgroup  $K \cap V$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal in  $K$ . Let there be a subgroup  $W \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $K \cap V \leq W \leq K$ . Then

$$(K \cap V)G_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}} = (V/G_{\mathcal{F}}) \cap (KG_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}}) \leq WG_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}} \leq KG_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Note that  $K \cap V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}_0$ -injector of  $K$ . Hence

$$K_{\mathcal{F}} = K_{\mathcal{F}_0} \leq V \cap K \leq W,$$

and therefore  $K_{\mathcal{F}} \leq W$ . Now  $K_{\mathcal{F}} = K \cap G_{\mathcal{F}}$  by Lemma 2.1, and thus

$$WG_{\mathcal{F}} \cap K = W(G_{\mathcal{F}} \cap K) = WK_{\mathcal{F}} = W.$$

Hence  $W$  is subnormal in  $WG_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $WG_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}$ , i.e.,  $WG_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}} \in \mathcal{F}^*$ . Since  $(V/G_{\mathcal{F}}) \cap (KG_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}})$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -maximal in  $KG_{\mathcal{F}}/G_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we have the equation

$$(K \cap V)G_{\mathcal{F}} = WG_{\mathcal{F}}.$$

Therefore,

$$K \cap V = (K \cap V)(G_{\mathcal{F}} \cap K) = (K \cap V)G_{\mathcal{F}} \cap K = WG_{\mathcal{F}} \cap K = W$$

and  $V$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ .

Let us prove the conjugacy of injectors of  $G$ . Let  $V$  be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -injector of  $G$ . Then, by assertion 1 of Lemma 2.5,  $V/G_{\mathcal{F}}$  is an  $\mathcal{F}^*$ -injector of  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}}$ . However, by Lemma 2.4, the  $\mathcal{F}^*$ -injectors of  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}}$  are conjugate, and thus so are the  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors of  $G$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2** (see [2, Theorem 2.2]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Fitting set of a  $\pi$ -solvable group  $G$ , where  $\pi = \sigma(\mathcal{F})$ . Then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors, and any two of them are conjugate.*

**Corollary 4.3** (see [6, Theorem 2.4.27]). *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a Fitting set of a group  $G$ , and let  $G/G_{\mathcal{F}}$  be a solvable group. Then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors, and any two of them are conjugate.*

**Corollary 4.4** (see [10]). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Fitting class, and let  $G/G_{\mathfrak{F}}$  be a  $\pi$ -solvable group, where  $\pi = \sigma(\mathfrak{F})$ . Then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors, and any two of them are conjugate.*

**Corollary 4.5** (see [11]). *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a Fitting class, and let  $G/G_{\mathfrak{F}}$  be a solvable group. Then the group  $G$  contains  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors, and any two of them are conjugate.*

In conclusion, note that an interesting problem remains: To find characterizations of  $\mathcal{F}$ -injectors for a Fitting set  $\mathcal{F}$  of a given group, by using radicals and Hall subgroups similar to the characterizations of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors for Fitting classes  $\mathfrak{F}$  that were obtained in [12] and [13].

## REFERENCES

1. B. Fischer, W. Gaschütz, and B. Hartley, "Injektoren endlicher auflösbarer Gruppen," *Math. Z.* **102** (5), 337–339 (1967).
2. L. A. Shemetkov, "Subgroups of  $\pi$ -solvable groups," in *Finite Groups* (Nauka i Tekhnika, Minsk, 1975), pp. 207–212 [in Russian].
3. W. Anderson, "Injectors in finite solvable groups," *J. Algebra* **36** (3), 333–338 (1975).
4. K. Doerk and T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, in *de Gruyter Exp. Math.* (Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1992), Vol. 4 [in Russian].
5. L. A. Shemetkov, *Formations of Finite Groups*, in *Modern Algebra* (Nauka, Moscow, 1978) [in Russian].
6. A. Ballester-Bolínches and L. M. Ezquerro, *Classes of Finite Groups*, in *Math. Appl. (Springer)* (Springer, Dordrecht, 2006), Vol. 584 [in Russian].
7. I. Hawthorn, "The existence and uniqueness of injectors for Fitting sets of solvable groups," *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **126** (8), 2229–2230 (1998).
8. P. A. Gol'berg, "Hall  $\theta$ -bases of finite groups," *Izv. Vyss. Uchebn. Zaved. Matematika*, No. 1, 36–43 (1961).
9. S. N. Vorob'ev and E. N. Zaleskaya, "An analog of Shemetkov's conjecture for Fischer classes of finite groups," *Sib. Math. J.* **54** (5), 989–999 (2013) [*Sib. Math. J.* **54** (5), 790–797 (2013)].
10. W. Guo, "Injectors of finite groups," *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. A* **18** (2), 145–148 (1997).
11. V. G. Sementovskii, "Injectors of finite groups," in *Investigation of the Normal and Subgroup Structure of Finite Groups* ("Nauka i Tekhnika", Minsk, 1984), pp. 166–170 [in Russian].
12. W. Guo and N. T. Vorob'ev, "On injectors of finite soluble groups," *Comm. Algebra* **36** (9), 3200–3208 (2008).
13. Y. Liu, W. Guo, and N. T. Vorob'ev, "Description of  $\mathfrak{F}$ -injectors of Finite Soluble Groups," *Math. Sci. Res. J.* **12** (1), 17–22 (2008).

Copyright of Mathematical Notes is the property of Springer Science & Business Media B.V. and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.