

RADICAL CLASSES OF FINITE GROUPS WITH THE LOCKETT CONDITION

N. T. Vorob'ev

In the theory of radical classes of finite solvable groups (Fitting classes) the following is a well-known problem (the Lockett conjecture): can every radical class $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ be obtained as intersection of two radical classes $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^*$ and \mathfrak{K} , where $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^* = \{G \in \mathfrak{E} \mid (G^2)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}} = (G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}})^2 \langle g, g^{-1} \rangle \mid g \in G\}$ and \mathfrak{K} is some normal radical class [1]?

First results on this problem were obtained by Bryce and Cossey [2] who proved that the Lockett conjecture holds for all radical classes $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$, satisfying $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_* = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^* \cap \mathfrak{E}_*$; here $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_* = \bigcap \{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} \mid \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^* = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^*\}$ and \mathfrak{E}_* is a minimal radical class. A radical class of groups $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ for which $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_* = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^* \cap \mathfrak{E}_*$ is said to satisfy the Lockett condition [3]. Shortly after, an example was constructed in [4] of a radical class $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}_* \neq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^* \cap \mathfrak{E}_*$; however, the problem of describing the radical classes satisfying the Lockett condition remains open (cf. [2, 3]).

At present the most general result in this direction is the result of Beidleman and Hauck [3] which says that radical classes of the form $\mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{E}_\pi \mathfrak{E}_\pi$, $\mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{R}$ satisfy the Lockett condition.

In [5] the author announced results relating to the study of local radical classes; these are constructions which are dual to well-known basic objects in the theory of formations of finite groups, viz. local formations (cf [6, Chap. 1]).

The main result of the present paper is to prove that every local radical class of finite solvable groups satisfies the Lockett condition. The previously quoted results [3] and [2] are special cases of our theorem (radical classes of the form $\mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{E}_\pi \mathfrak{E}_\pi$, $\mathfrak{K} \mathfrak{R}$ are examples of local classes). From the main result we obtain also an easy positive answer to question 8.30 posed by Lausch in the Kourovka Notebook [7] for the case of local radical classes (Corollary 2).

If $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ is a radical class then $G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}}$ denotes the $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ -radical of the group G , $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ denotes the product of the radical classes $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$, i.e., the class of all groups G for which $G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. A radical class is called local [5] if there exists a local group function f [6] such that $f(p)$ is a radical class for all prime numbers p and $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \bigcap_p f(p) \mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_p$. In this case we will call f a radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. A radical class $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ is called a Lockett class [1] if $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}^*$. Other definitions and notation can be found in [6, 8] and [9] if required. All groups under consideration are finite and solvable. The following definition is due to L. A. Shemetkov.

Definition 1. Let f be a radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. Then we say that,

- 1) f is inner if $f(p) \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ for all primes p ;
- 2) f is complete if $f(p) \mathfrak{G}_p = f(p)$ for all primes p .

LEMMA 1. Every local radical class (in the general case of not necessarily solvable groups) is determined by a complete inner radical function.

Proof. Assume that $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ is a local radical class. Then there exists a radical function f such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \bigcap_p f(p) \mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_p$. We define a radical function φ as follows: $\varphi(p) = f(p) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$ for every prime p . It is clear that $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}} = \bigcap_p \varphi(p) \mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_p$.

Now we define the radical function ψ such that $\psi(p) = \varphi(p) \mathfrak{G}_p$. It is clear that ψ is a complete radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. We will now show that ψ is an inner radical function. Let $G \in \psi(p)$. Then $G/G_{q(q)}$ is $\mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_p$ and therefore $G \in \varphi(p) \mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_p$. Assume now that q is any prime number different from p . Then $\mathfrak{G}_p \subseteq \mathfrak{G}_q$ and therefore $G^{q^{q^q}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$. It follows that $(G^{q^{q^q}})^{q^{q^q}} \in \varphi(q)$. Using Theorem 7 of [9] we obtain $G^{q^{q^q}} \in \varphi(q)$. Therefore $G.G_{q(q)} \simeq G/G^{q^{q^q}} G_{q(q)} G^{q^{q^q}} \in \mathfrak{G}_q \mathfrak{G}_q$. We have shown that $G \in \bigcap_p \varphi(p) \mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_p = \tilde{\mathfrak{R}}$.

S. M. Kirov State Pedagogical Institute, Vitebsk. Translated from Matematicheskie Zametki, Vol. 43, No. 2, pp. 161-168, February, 1988. Original article submitted September 9, 1985.

The Lemma is established.

Definition 2. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ be radical classes of groups. By $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ we denote the class of groups defined as follows:

$G \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ if and only if the $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ -injector of G belongs to $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$.

It is easy to see that the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is S_n -closed, but in general it is not a radical class (cf. example 3.2 (b) [10]). The construction of this class of groups is dual to one known in the theory of formations, namely the formation product of second kind; this has been studied in [11, 12].

Recall that a radical class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ is called a Fischer class [1] if $K \subseteq H \subseteq G \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, K \trianglelefteq G$ and $H/K \in \mathfrak{G}_p$ always implies $H \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ (p is some prime number).

In the following three lemmas we do not assume that the groups under consideration are solvable.

LEMMA 2. Every local radical class is a Fischer class.

Proof. Let f be a radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ and G a group from $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. We will show that if K is a normal subgroup of G such that $K \subseteq H \subseteq G$ and $H/K \in \mathfrak{G}_q$ for some prime number q then $H \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. It will be sufficient to show that $H^{\mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_{p'}} \in f(p)$ for all prime numbers p . We distinguish two possibilities.

1. p is a prime number different from q .

In this case $H/K \in \mathfrak{G}_q$ and therefore $H^{\mathfrak{G}_p} \subseteq K$. Hence $K^{\mathfrak{G}_p} = H^{\mathfrak{G}_p}$ and therefore $K^{\mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_{p'}} = H^{\mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_{p'}}$. But $K \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ and therefore $H^{\mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_{p'}} \in f(p)$.

2. $p = q$. Let H_q be a Sylow q -subgroup of the group H . Then $H_q K/K$ is a Sylow q -subgroup of H/K . Consequently, $H = H_q K$. Since the Sylow q -subgroup G_q of G is contained in $G^{\mathfrak{G}_q}$ and H_q is contained in G_q we have $[H_q, K] \subseteq [G_q, K] \subseteq G^{\mathfrak{G}_q} \cap K$. $G \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$, hence $G^{\mathfrak{G}_q} \subseteq G_{f(q) \mathfrak{G}_q}$. Therefore $[H_q, K] \subseteq K \cap G_{f(q) \mathfrak{G}_q} = K_{f(q) \mathfrak{G}_q} = R$. It is easy to see that $R H_q \trianglelefteq K H_q = H$. Since $R H_q = f(q) \mathfrak{G}_q$, it follows from $H/R H_q \in \mathfrak{G}_q$ that $H \in f(q) \mathfrak{G}_q \mathfrak{G}_q$. Hence $H \in \cap_{p \neq q} f(p) \mathfrak{G}_p \mathfrak{G}_p = \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$.

The Lemma is established.

A radical class of groups which is at the same time a homomorph (formation), closed under the operation $\text{Ext}_{\mathfrak{F}}$ (cf. also [6, p. 12]) will be called a saturated radical homomorph (respectively, a saturated radical formation).

LEMMA 3. If $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ is some radical class and $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ a saturated radical homomorph then

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}} = (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*.$$

Proof. We prove first that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$. Assume the contrary. Now choose in the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \setminus (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$ a group G of minimal order. It is clear that $G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \subseteq G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*}$. Assume that $G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*}/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \in \Phi(G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a homomorph it follows from $G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ that $G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*}/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. Consequently, $G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}/\Phi(G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ and therefore $G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$.

Thus $G \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$, which is impossible.

If $G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*}/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$ is not contained in $\Phi(G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})$ then $G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$ contains a maximal subgroup $M/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$ such that $G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} = (G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*}/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})(M/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})$. By Theorem 3.5 1) [13] we have $G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*}/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \subseteq Z(G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}})$, hence $M/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}} \triangleleft G/G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}}$. It now follows by induction that $M \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$ we have $G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*} \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$. Therefore $G = G_{\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*} M \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$. This contradiction shows that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$.

Now we shall prove the reverse inclusion. If $G \in (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$, it follows from the fact that $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a homomorph that $G \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ and therefore by Theorem 3.3 c) of [13] we have $(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^* \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^*$. By the definition of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}^*$ (cf. [1] and [13]) every group G of $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}^*$ is a homomorphic image of the group $(G \times G)_{\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}}$, and therefore $G \in \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. It is therefore clear that $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a Lockett class, i.e. $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}^*$. Moreover, by Theorem 3.3 c) of [13] the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^*$ is also a Lockett class. Therefore $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a product of Lockett classes, and it follows easily from the proof of Lemma 3.1 (b) of [14] that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is also a Lockett class. Hence $(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})^* \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}^* \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$.

The Lemma is established.

LEMMA 4. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_1, \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_2$ be radical classes of groups. Then the following assertions hold:

1) if \mathfrak{K} is a radical homomorph and $\tilde{\delta}_1 \subseteq \tilde{\delta}_2$ then $\tilde{\delta}_1 \mathfrak{K} \subseteq \tilde{\delta}_2 \mathfrak{K}$;

2) if \mathfrak{K} is a radical formation then $(\tilde{\delta}_1 \cap \tilde{\delta}_2) \mathfrak{K} = \tilde{\delta}_1 \mathfrak{K} \cap \tilde{\delta}_2 \mathfrak{K}$.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{K} be a radical homomorph and $\tilde{\delta}_1 \subseteq \tilde{\delta}_2$. Then $G/G_{\tilde{\delta}_2} \simeq G/G_{\tilde{\delta}_1}/G_{\tilde{\delta}_2}/G_{\tilde{\delta}_1} \in \mathfrak{K}$ and therefore $G \in \tilde{\delta}_2 \mathfrak{K}$.

By 1) we have $(\tilde{\delta}_1 \cap \tilde{\delta}_2) \mathfrak{K} \subseteq \tilde{\delta}_1 \mathfrak{K} \cap \tilde{\delta}_2 \mathfrak{K}$.

If $G/G_{\tilde{\delta}_i} \in \mathfrak{K}$ then $G/\bigcap G_{\tilde{\delta}_i} \in \mathfrak{K}$. It is clear that $\bigcap G_{\tilde{\delta}_i} = G_{\bigcap \tilde{\delta}_i}$. Therefore $G \in (\bigcap \tilde{\delta}_i) \mathfrak{K}$ ($i = 1, 2$).

The lemma is established.

Definition 3. The radical function f is called a Lockett function if $f(p)$ is a Lockett class for all prime numbers p .

LEMMA 5. Every local radical class can be defined by a Lockett function. In particular, every local radical class is a Lockett class.

Proof. Let φ be a radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} = \bigcap_p \varphi(p) \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p$. It is easy to see that for every prime p the radical class $\varphi(p) \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p$ is local. It follows from Lemma 2 that $\varphi(p) \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p$ is a Fischer class and thus by Theorem 2.2 d) of [1] it is also a Lockett class. Now we apply Lemma 3 and find that $(\varphi(p))^* \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p = \varphi(p) \mathfrak{S}_p \mathfrak{S}_p$ for all primes p . We now construct the radical function f as follows: $f(p) = (\varphi(p))^*$ for all primes p . By Theorem 2.2 b) of [1] f is then a Lockett function locally defining $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. The fact that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ is a Lockett class follows immediately from property 2.3 b) in [1].

The Lemma is established.

COROLLARY. Every local radical class can be defined by a complete inner Lockett function.

Proof. By Lemma 1 every local radical class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ can be defined by a complete inner radical function ψ which can be obtained easily from the Lockett function f (which exists by Lemma 5), if it is assumed that $\psi(p) = (f(p) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}) \mathfrak{S}_p$ for all primes p .

Definition 4. Let f be a radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. The radical class \mathfrak{D} is said to be closed under f -injectors if $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq f(p) \circ \mathfrak{D}$ for all primes p .

Examples. Let f be an inner radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then the radical class \mathfrak{D} is closed under f -injectors in each of the following cases:

- 1) $f(p)$ is an S-closed radical class for all primes p , \mathfrak{D} is minimal normal;
- 2) $\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{D}$ where $\mathfrak{K} \subseteq f(p)$ for all primes p , \mathfrak{D} is an S-closed radical class;
- 3) $\mathfrak{D} \supseteq f(p)$ for all primes p or $\mathfrak{D} \supseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$;
- 4) $f(p)$ is normal for all primes p , \mathfrak{D} arbitrary;
- 5) \mathfrak{D} is S-closed.

Indeed, in case 1) $f(p)$ is a Fischer class for all primes p , and therefore by Theorem 4.4 of [10] the class \mathfrak{D} is closed under f -injectors.

We now consider case 2). Let $G \in \mathfrak{D}$ and F an $f(p)$ -injector of the group G . Then $G/G_x \in \mathfrak{D}$. But $G_x \subseteq G_{f(p)} \subseteq F$, and therefore $F/G_x \in \mathfrak{D}$ since the class \mathfrak{D} is S-closed. By Theorem 1.1 of [15] the radical class \mathfrak{D} is a formation. Consequently, $F \in \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{D} = \mathfrak{D}$. The cases 3)-5) are trivial.

LEMMA 6. If ψ is a complete inner radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ and \mathfrak{D} is a radical class which is closed under ψ -injectors then $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D}_* = (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_*$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D} \subseteq \mathfrak{D}$ it follows from Theorem 3.5 of [2] that $(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \subseteq \mathfrak{D}_*$. Consequently, $(\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D}_*$. Now we prove the reverse inclusion. Let G be a group in \mathfrak{D} and F a $\psi(p)$ -injector of the group G where p is a prime. Then $F \in \psi(p) \cap \mathfrak{D}$ and therefore $F \in \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D}$. Then it follows from Lemma 3 and Theorem 2.2 b) of [1] that $F \in ((\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \mathfrak{S}_p)^* = (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \mathfrak{S}_p$. Hence $G \in f(p) = \psi(p) \circ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \mathfrak{S}_p)^*$. We have thus shown that $\mathfrak{D} \subseteq f(p)$.

By Theorem 3.3 in [10] the class $f(p)$ is a radical class. By Theorem 3 of [3] we therefore have the equation

$$\psi(p) \circ ((\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \mathfrak{S}_p)^* = (\psi(p) \circ (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \mathfrak{S}_p)^*.$$

But then it follows from Theorems 3.5 of [2] and 2.2 b) of [1] that $\mathfrak{D}_* \subseteq \psi(p) \circ (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \mathfrak{D})_* \mathfrak{S}_p$.

Therefore

$$\psi(p) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_* \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})_* \mathfrak{E}_{p'}.$$

By Lemma 4 1) we have $(\psi(p) \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*) \mathfrak{E}_{p'} \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})_* \mathfrak{E}_{p'}$. Now we use part 2) of Lemma 4 and obtain that $\psi(p) \mathfrak{E}_{p'} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_* \mathfrak{E}_{p'} \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})_* \mathfrak{E}_{p'}$ for all primes p . Therefore $\bigcap_p (\psi(p) \mathfrak{E}_{p'} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_* \mathfrak{E}_{p'}) \subseteq \bigcap_p (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})_* \mathfrak{E}_{p'}$. But then $(\bigcap_p \psi(p) \mathfrak{E}_{p'}) \cap (\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_* (\bigcap_p \mathfrak{E}_{p'})) \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})_* (\bigcap_p \mathfrak{E}_{p'})$. Hence $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_* \subseteq (\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}})_*$.

The Lemma is established.

THEOREM. Every local radical class satisfies the Lockett condition.

Proof. Let ψ be a complete inner radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ the class of all solvable groups. Then it is clear that $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is closed under ψ -injectors and it follows from Lemma 6 that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}_* = \tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_*$.

COROLLARY 1 (Bryce, Cossey, Beidleman, Hauck [2, 3]). Primitive saturated formations and radical classes of groups of the types $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{E}_\pi\mathfrak{E}_{\pi'}$ and $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{N}$ satisfy the Lockett condition.

Proof. Obviously, the class of groups $\mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{E}_\pi\mathfrak{E}_{\pi'}$ is an example of a local class since it is defined by a complete inner radical function ψ such that for every prime p we have

$$\psi(p) = \begin{cases} \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{E}_\pi, & \text{if } p \in \pi. \\ \mathfrak{K}\mathfrak{E}_{\pi'}, & \text{if } p \in \pi'. \end{cases}$$

Now the result follows immediately from the theorem and Corollary 2, 3 in [3].

COROLLARY 2. If $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ are local radical classes then $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a radical class satisfying the Lockett condition.

Proof. It is clear that the radical class $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}} \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is local; hence the result follows from the Theorem.

COROLLARY 3. Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}, \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ be radical classes where $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is local. Then the product $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ of radical classes satisfies the Lockett condition.

Proof. It is easy to see that $\tilde{\mathfrak{F}}\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$ is a local radical class defined by a radical function f such that $f(p) = \tilde{\mathfrak{F}}h(p)$ for all primes p where h is some radical function of the class $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}$. Now the result follows immediately from the Theorem.

LITERATURE CITED

1. F. P. Lockett, "The Fitting class $\tilde{\mathfrak{N}}^*$," Math. Z., 137, No. 2, 131-136 (1974).
2. R. A. Bryce and J. A. Cossey, "A problem in the theory of Fitting classes," Math. Z., 141, No. 2, 99-110 (1975).
3. J. C. Beidleman and P. Hauck, "Über Fittingklassen und die Lockett-Vermutung," Math. Z., 167, No. 2, 161-167 (1979).
4. T. R. Berger and J. Cossey, "An example in the theory of normal Fitting classes," Math. Z., 154, No. 3, 287-293 (1977).
5. N. T. Vorob'ev, "Local Fitting classes," Transactions of the 17th All-Union Algebra Conference. Part 2. Minsk, September 14-17, 1983. Inst. Mat. AN BSSR, pp. 42-43.
6. L. A. Shemetkov, Formations of Finite Groups [in Russian], Moscow, Nauka (1978).
7. The Kourovka Notebook (Unsolved Questions in Group Theory) [in Russian], Mat. Inst. AN SSSR (Sib. Branch), Novosibirsk (1982).
8. L. A. Shemetkov, "Subgroups of p -solvable groups," in: Finite Groups [in Russian], Minsk, Nauka i Tekhnika (1975), pp. 207-212.
9. W. Gaschütz, Selected Topics in the Theory of Soluble Groups, Canberra, Austral. Nat. Univ. (1969).
10. P. Hauck, "Eine Bemerkung zur kleinsten Fittingklasse," J. Algebra, 53, No. 3, 395-401 (1979).
11. N. T. Vorob'ev, "Maximal screens of local formations," Algebra Logika, 18, No. 2, 137-161 (1979).
12. N. T. Vorob'ev, "On a criterion for formation products to be local," Mat. Zametki, 34, No. 2, 165-170 (1983).
13. H. Laue, "Über nichtauflösbare normale Fittingklassen," J. Algebra, 45, No. 2, 274-283 (1977).
14. P. Hauck, "On products of Fitting classes," J. London Math. Soc., 20, No. 2, 423-434 (1979).
15. R. A. Bryce and J. Cossey, "Subgroup closed Fitting classes are formations," Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 91, No. 2, 225-258 (1982).